

(New text underlined)

- Page 4, paragraph 1, last sentence:
“...unsuitable for onsite wastewater treatment systems due...”
- Page 7, 5th paragraph, last sentence:
Reference to Section 805 (2) (c) (2) was added
- Page 8:
Reference to Section 805 (2) (c) (2) was added
- Page 12, Figure 3:
Figure 3 – legend’s incorrect reference to Alternative A was changed to Alternative 1
- Page 13, Figure 4:
Figure 3 – legend’s incorrect reference to Alternative 1 was changed to Alternative 2
- Page 14, paragraph 2, last sentence:
“...guideline for Resource Management is...”
- Page 16, paragraph 1:
Two sentences were added to explain the history of the adjacent Wild Forest area, which was acquired by the state after this area was originally classified:
“These Wild Forest lands were part of a 1,200 acre purchase by the State in 1986 from Paul Smiths College. Prior to State acquiring these lands, they were part of this larger Resource Management area.”
- Page 16:
Figure 5 was modified to simplify and clarify road route numbers
- Page 17, paragraph 4 and 5:
Text changes to clarify existing use of parcels with the Proposed Map Amendments and Alternatives.
- Page 19:
The following paragraph was added following Figure 7 –
“Within each of the soil complexes in the Proposed Map Amendment Area, there may be several individual soil series with their own physical properties which may be suitable or unsuitable for development. The following soil series are the dominant soil series that

(New text underlined)

can be expected to be found within the three soil complexes in the Proposed Map Amendment Area.”

- Page 20, paragraph 5:
“... typical percentages of each of these soils series within the soil complexes ...”

-

- Page 21, paragraph 2
1st sentence: “...most suitable for development of the...”

Last sentence was added: “Other limitations, such as steep slopes, wetlands and shallow depth to bedrock may also be found within this soil complex. Similarly, while Becket – Tunbridge complex and Burnt Vly – Humaquepts – Pleasant Lake complex are assumed to be unsuitable for development, there may be suitable location within these soil complexes.”

- Page 30, paragraph 1, sentence 1:
“...properly functioning septic...”

- Page 34, paragraph 3 and 4:
Text changes to clarify potential change in total principal buildings. References to principle buildings per square mile were removed and now only references average minimum lot size. A table was added to summarize the estimated change in potential development.

- Pages 39 – 45
Clarification that bold text is the comment and non-bolded text is the response.

- Page 44, Response to comment 20:
The following was added to the response: “However, new detailed information regarding soils and wetlands are available now and the larger Resource Management area changed significantly due to the State acquisition of approximately 1,200 acres of land. This acquired land, now classified as Wild Forest, borders the Proposed Map Amendment Area on the north and west and has created a smaller Resource Management area that separated from the rest of the larger (approximately 10,000 acres) Resource Management area by clear regional boundaries (NYS Route 30 and a stream).”