

**Park Policy and State Land-Geographic Activity
September 2012**

% of Time by Category and State Land Unit

Row Labels	APA DEC MOU Consultation	GIS Work	Re/Class.	UMP and Project Review	Park Policy and Planning (describe in notes)	Grand Total
Moose River Plains W.F.	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%
Saranac L. W.F.	0%	5%	0%	26%	0%	30%
TNC/Finch lands	0%		36%	0%	0%	36%
Siamese Pds. W.	0%		0%	0%	4%	4%
Multiple campgrounds	6%		0%	0%	0%	6%
Grand Total	30%	5%	36%	26%	4%	100%

Footnote: Other activities totalling approximately less than 4% include Historic Preservation Act Review and JIF Review Review

Park Policy and State Land-Category and Description

% of Category and Description

Row Labels	Attending meeting	Field work	State Land and Policy Research	UMP and Project Review	Creating materials (describe in notes)	Grand Total
APA DEC MOU Consultation	0%	20%	0%	0%	6%	26%
JIF Review	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	6%
Other (describe in notes)	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%
Re/Classification	8%	12%	14%	0%	0%	34%
UMP Review	1%	17%	0%	5%	0%	24%
Park Policy and Planning (describe in notes)	2%	3%	0%	0%	1%	7%
Grand Total	15%	53%	14%	11%	6%	100%

Footnote: Other activities totaling approximately less than 4% include Administration, GIS, Historic Preservation Act Review, Local Government Services, UMP Amendment Review