

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2021-0033

Assigned EPS: Devan Korn - 57 Reviewed by: /s/JMB Date: 4/28/2021

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): 789 Tarbell Hill, LLC Landowners: Peter P. Zelinski, Kathryn M. Zelinski and Judson P. Zelinski Authorized Representative: Kent Randall, EcoView Consulting Services

PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Moriah County: Essex Road and/or Water Body: Tarbell Hill Rd (C.R. 42), Dugway Rd (C.R. 4) and Stony Brook Tax Map #(s): 97.13-1-21 Deed Ref: Instrument Number 2020-3974, recorded October 1, 2020 in the Essex County Clerk's Office under Instrument Number 2020-3974 Land Use Area(s): \Box H \boxtimes MIU \boxtimes LIU \Box RU \Box RM \Box IU Project Site Size: 100± acres \Box Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above \boxtimes Only the \Box H \Box MIU \boxtimes LIU \Box RU \Box RM \Box IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above \boxtimes Other (describe):North side of Tarbell Hill Rd (C.R. 42) and west of Dugway Rd (C.R. 4) Lawfully Created? \boxtimes Y \Box N \boxtimes Pre-existing subdivision: NA River Area: \Box Y \boxtimes N If Yes: \Box Wild - \Box Scenic - \Box Recreational Name of River: NA CEAs (include all): \boxtimes Wetland - \Box Fed Hwy - \Box State Hwy - \Box State Land - \Box Elevation - \Box Study River

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project as conditionally approved herein involves a subdivision by lease for the construction of a 5 MW photovoltaic solar generation facility on 25± acres. The solar generation facility involves installation of solar panel arrays and related infrastructure including a new access road, fencing, landscaping, and utility connections. Existing and proposed access to the project site is from Tarbell Hill Road and Dugway Road. The project includes improvements to existing access locations on each road resulting in one stabilized gravel access to the project site from each of the public roadways. Power generated by the facility will run underground on the project site to six new utility poles with an overall height of less than 40 feet located adjacent to Tarbell Hill Road and then overhead to the existing overhead electric utility lines along the public roadway.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

APA Act Section 810(1)(c)(1)(b) – Subdivision (by lease) involving wetlands APA Act Section 810(1)(c)(17) – Major Public Utility Use in Low Intensity Use land us area 9 NYCRR Part 578.3(n)(3)(i) – Lease lot areas are located within 200 feet of wetland boundaries

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

Permits 90-368, 90-618, 92-23, 99-214, and 2002-128. These permits each authorized a two-lot subdivision and the construction of one single family dwelling along Tarbell Hill Road.

FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Water Body Name: NA Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): NA MHWM determ: □Y ⊠NA Minimum Lot Width: NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA Structure Setback (APA Act):NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction) Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development Check if none ⊠Permanent Stream □Intermittent Stream Classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout opoulation. Wetlands ⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Yes, RASS biologist consulted Y △N Strictional wetland on property If Yes, RASS biologist consulted > Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh > Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ⊠Y □N → If Y, value rating: 2 Widlife □Y N Rare/threatened/endangered species ⊠RASS ecologist consulted □Y N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town ∅ If Yes, completed		•	ls, Navigable Rivers and Stream	IS	Check if none 🛛			
Minimum Lot Width: NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA Structure Setback (APA Act):NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA Structure Setback (River Regs): NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 6 t of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6' dbh? □Y⊠NA								
Structure Setback (APA Act):NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA Structure Setback (River Regs): NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □Y ⊠NA								
Structure Setback (River Regs): NA Meets standard: □Y ⊠NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □Y ⊠NA								
□ Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? □ Y ⊠NA			Ϋ́Υ					
□Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? □Y⊠NA			(C)					
□Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction) Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development Check if none □Permanent Stream □Intermittent Stream Classified? ⊠Y N DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream name and classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands □Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑f Yes, RASS biologist consulted > Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline			• • •		-			
Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development Check if none ⊠Permanent Stream □Intermittent Stream Classified? ⊠Y ∩N DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream name and classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands ⊠Y □N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Yes, RASS biologist consulted fY: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ⊠If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline								
 ☑ Permanent Stream ☐ Intermittent Stream Classified? ☑ Y ☐ N DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream name and classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands ☑ Y ☐ N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ☑ Y ☐ N → If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife □Y ☑ N Rare/threatened/endangered species ☑ RASS ecologist consulted ☑ Y ☑ N Rort/treatened/endangered species in Town ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted ☑ Y ☑ N Forest management plan existing or proposed ☑ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y ☑ N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □Y ☑ N Aquifer ☑ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15% 	LΥ	⊠NA	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of	river area? (If Yes, inclu	ide under jurisdiction)			
 ☑ Permanent Stream ☐ Intermittent Stream Classified? ☑ Y ☐ N DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream name and classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands ☑ Y ☐ N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ☑ Y ☐ N → If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife □Y ☑ N Rare/threatened/endangered species ☑ RASS ecologist consulted ☑ Y ☑ N Rort/treatened/endangered species in Town ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted ☑ Y ☑ N Forest management plan existing or proposed ☑ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y ☑ N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □Y ☑ N Aquifer ☑ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15% 	Non	-Naviga	ble Streams in proximity to deve	elopment	Check if none 🗌			
Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands ⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline		•		•				
Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population. Wetlands ⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline				-				
Wetlands ⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ☑ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline								
⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ⊠If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline	trout	populat	ion.					
⊠Y N Jurisdictional wetland on property If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ⊠If Yes, RASS biologist consulted → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline	Wetl	ands						
If Y: Approximately 9 acres within the vicinity of the proposed project ⊠ <i>If Yes, RASS biologist consulted</i> → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ⊠Y □N → If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife □Y ⊠N Rare/threatened/endangered species ⊠ <i>RASS ecologist consulted</i> □Y ⊠N R/T/E or other unique species habitat ⊠ <i>RASS ecologist consulted</i> □Y ⊠N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town ⊠ <i>If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted</i> □Y ⊠N Forest management plan existing or proposed ⊠ <i>RASS forestry analyst consulted</i> □Y ⊠N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ <i>If Yes, completed</i> Ecological / Special Districts □Y ⊠N Natural Heritage Sites ⊠ <i>RASS ecologist consulted</i> □Y ⊠N Aquifer ⊠ <i>RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on</i> >15%, <i>driveway on</i> >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			Jurisdictional wetland on property	1				
 → Covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ⊠Y □N → If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife Y N Rare/threatened/endangered species X N R/T/E or other unique species habitat X PASS ecologist consulted Y N R/T/E or other unique species habitat X RASS ecologist consulted Y N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted Y N Forest management plan existing or proposed X RASS forestry analyst consulted Y N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts Y N Natural Heritage Sites X RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15% 			,		t \boxtimes If Yes. RASS biologist consulted			
 → Located < 200 ft from proposed development or along shoreline ⊠Y □N → If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife Y N Rare/threatened/endangered species W N R/T/E or other unique species habitat X RASS ecologist consulted Y N Rorthern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town Y N Forest management plan existing or proposed Y N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts Y N Aquifer X N Aquifer X N Agricultural District Slopes RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15% 								
→ If Y, value rating: 2 Wildlife □Y N Rare/threatened/endangered species □RASS ecologist consulted □Y N R/T/E or other unique species habitat □RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y □N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ If Yes, consulted □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%		• •			Y □N			
□Y □N Rare/threatened/endangered species □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N R/T/E or other unique species habitat □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y □N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ If Yes, completed □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%				C C				
□Y □N Rare/threatened/endangered species □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N R/T/E or other unique species habitat □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y □N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ If Yes, completed □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%	Wild	lifo						
□Y N R/T/E or other unique species habitat □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y □N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ If Yes, completed □ If Yes, completed □Y □N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y □N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			Rare/threatened/endangered sne		\boxtimes PASS ecologist consulted			
⊠Y □N Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y ⊠N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y ⊠N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted □Y ⊠N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ If Yes, completed □ If Yes, completed □Y ⊠N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y ⊠N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			o 1		-			
□Y N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ RASS forestry analyst consulted □Y N Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist □ If Yes, completed Ecological / Special Districts □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y N Natural Heritage Sites □ RASS ecologist consulted □Y N Aquifer □ RASS engineer consulted □Y N Agricultural District Slopes □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			· · ·		-			
$\square Y \ \boxtimes N$ Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist \square If Yes, completedEcological / Special Districts $\square Y \ \boxtimes N$ Natural Heritage Sites \boxtimes RASS ecologist consulted $\square Y \ \boxtimes N$ Aquifer \boxtimes RASS engineer consulted $\boxtimes Y \ \boxtimes N$ Agricultural DistrictSlopes \square RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Existing slope range: 0 - 15%Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			U U		-			
Ecological / Special Districts $\Box Y$ $\Box N$ Natural Heritage Sites $\Box RASS$ ecologist consulted $\Box Y$ $\Box N$ Aquifer $\Box RASS$ engineer consulted $\Box Y$ $\Box N$ Agricultural District Slopes $\Box RASS$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			c . c					
\square Y \boxtimes NNatural Heritage Sites \boxtimes RASS ecologist consulted \square Y \boxtimes NAquifer \boxtimes RASS engineer consulted \boxtimes Y \square NAgricultural DistrictSlopes \square RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Existing slope range: 0 - 15%Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			Diological ourvey required by IVA					
$\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Aquifer $\boxtimes RASS$ engineer consulted $\boxtimes Y$ $\square N$ Agricultural District $\square RASS$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Slopes $\square RASS$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Existing slope range: 0 - 15%Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%		-	-		_			
$\boxtimes Y$ $\square N$ Agricultural DistrictSlopes $\square RASS$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%Existing slope range: 0 - 15%Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			0		•			
Slopes \Box <i>RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on</i> >15%, <i>driveway on</i> >12%, <i>or wwts on</i> >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%			•		⊠RASS engineer consulted			
Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%	×Υ	∐N	Agricultural District					
Existing slope range: 0 – 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <15%	Signes $\Box RASS$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15% driveway on >12% or www.							
	•	- •						
	Soils			· · · · ·				
\boxtimes Y \Box N Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) Check if N/A \Box					o ,			
If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst								
NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: In-situ soils data contained in SWPPP approved by				a in-situ solis data conta	amed in SvvPPP approved by			
	RAS	S for sto	rmwater management purposes.					

Character of Area

Nearby (include all): $\boxtimes Residential \boxtimes Commercial \square Industrial <math>\boxtimes Agricultural \boxtimes Forested$ Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: Multiple private residential uses and a commercial use adjoin the project site. Closest State land is Hammond Pond Wild Forest located >5 miles to the west of the site.

Is nearby development visible from road? $\boxtimes Y \square N$

→ If Y, name road and describe visible development: Private residential development and uses are visible from Tarbell Hill and Dugway Road. A cemetery is located to the north of the project site

Additional Existing Development and Uses of the Project Site: Agricultural uses and forest land. A mixture of open agricultural fields, hedgerows with some mature vegetation, watercourses, wetlands, and a large forested area in the northern and eastern portion of the southern section of the property. The agricultural fields are currently used for the growing of a rotation of crops.

Existing Development

Structure	-	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully	constructed	(Y/N)?
None				-		<u> </u>

ACCESSORY STRUCTURES

Structure	-	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?
None				

Proposed Development		Check	if portions or all below	∕ are NJ 🗆
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS		Check if	proposed as a non-buil	ding lot: 🗆
Structure	Footprint	Height	# Bedrooms	Slopes
None				

Have necessary density? \square N # remaining potential principal buildings = 29 (P2002-0128) from \square survey or \square estimate

ACCESSORY STRUCTURES

<u>Structure</u>	Footprint	Height	Slopes	
Panels	?	?	< 15%	
Fence	LF	Feet	< 15%	
Inverters	SF	Feet	< 8%	
Utility Poles	< 5 SF	38.5 Feet	< 8%	

ACCESS*Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > 1/4 mileDriveway is \boxtimes existing / \boxtimes proposedLength: 60 - 250 feetWidth: 20 feetSight distance evaluated? \boxtimes Y \square NSlopes: < 1%</td>Need Clearing/Grading? \square Y \boxtimes NComments: One 60' gravel driveway with turn around will be
constructed from Dugway Road and one 250' gravel driveway with turn around will be constructed from

ľ	Tarbell Hill Rd.	The improved	accesses	will be	e located	within	the	leased	area	and	maintained	<mark>d by</mark> t	the I	lease.
((Note if HOA or sh	ared maintenance	involved)									-		

Need hwy	access permit?	$\boxtimes Y$	(Essex	County)	$\Box N$
	10				

Need easement?	LΥ	$\boxtimes \mathbf{N}$
Need signs?	$\Box Y$	⊠N

VISUAL / AESTHETIC

- ⊠Y □N Proposed development visible from public areas (list) Tarbell Hill and Dugway Roads
- $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N \quad$ Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained
- ⊠Y □N Planting plan proposed ⊠ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted

WASTEWATER TREATMENT (WWTS) *Consult RASS engineer for engineered plans Check if N/A

- \Box Individual on-site \boxtimes Municipal is available \Box Community
- \Box Y \boxtimes NA Slope suitable for WWTS (i.e., \leq 8% shallow, \leq 15% conventional)?
- $\Box Y \otimes NA$ Soil suitable for WWTS (i.e., depth to SHGW and bedrock)?
- $\Box Y \equiv NA$ All water bodies or streams > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, needs variance from Town if ALLUP)
- $\Box Y \equiv NA$ If fast perc (1-3 min/in), water > 200 feet WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)
- $\Box Y \equiv NA$ All jurisdictional wetlands > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
- $\Box Y \otimes NA$ Suitable 100% replacement area identified?
- Existing and proposed to remain (needs suitable 100% replacement area)

WATER SUPPLY Check if N/A

 \Box Individual on-site \boxtimes Municipal is available

 $\Box Y \equiv NA$ All water supplies, on-site and off-site, > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)

STORMWATER / EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL *Consult RASS engineer

- $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N$ Does proposed development maintain existing drainage patterns?
- $\Box Y \otimes N < 1$ acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)
- $\boxtimes Y = \square N > 1$ acre disturbance proposed (SWPPP required, which includes E&S Control Plan)

UTILITIES

- Available on site? \Box Y \boxtimes NAvailable at road? \boxtimes Y \Box NProposed for site? \boxtimes Y \Box N
- □ Overhead
 ⊠ Overhead

 \boxtimes Overhead

- Underground
- Underground
- 🛛 Underground

FINDINGS OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW

$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRH	P
×Υ	$\Box N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	\boxtimes OPRHP consulted
Πλ	$\boxtimes N$	Within Lake George Park	es, LGPC consulted / application submitted
⊠Y	$\Box N$	Greater than 1 acre disturbance / SWPPP required	oxtimes If Yes, DEC application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Public water supply	\Box If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	\Box If Yes, DEC application submitted
ΠY	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of water body	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	\Box If Yes, DOH application submitted

- $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Army Corps involvement
- $\Box Y \otimes N$ Agency-approved Local Land Use Program
- $\Box Y \otimes N$ Local land use controls

☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted ☐ If Yes, Town/Village consulted ☑ Town/Village consulted

PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Merger

Justification if merger required: NA

Deed Covenant

Non-building lot being created? \Box Y \boxtimes N If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: NA

Easement

Easement proposed or required? $\boxtimes \bigvee \square N$

If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Underground utilities will extend between the lease parcels and be directionally bored beneath the Stony Brook and wetland area.

Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? \boxtimes Y \square N → If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Array Sections: 12 feet. Fencing: 8 feet. Utility Poles < 40 feet. As proposed and reviewed to minimize the potential for off-site visual impacts.

Structure footprint limit and justification: Arrays and associated components: 8.57 Acres. As proposed and reviewed to ensure effective stormwater management and erosion controls are implemented during and after construction.

 \rightarrow If N:

 \rightarrow Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? \Box Y \boxtimes N

 \rightarrow Review of future development required? \square N

 \rightarrow If Y, justification: Ensure potential wetland impacts are avoided or minimized.

Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) Check if N/A ⊠

Proposed and reviewed? □Y ⊠N If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? □Y ⊠NA → Justification for any conditions: NA

Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline)	Check if N/A 🛛		
Proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \otimes N$			
If N, boathouses potentially allowed? $\Box Y$	⊠NA		
→ If N, justification: NA			
\rightarrow If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)?	□Y ⊠NA		
\rightarrow If Y, justification: NA			

Docks (if project site contains shoreline)	Check if N/A	\bowtie
Proposed and reviewed?	$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$
If N, docks potentially allowed?	$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes NA$

\rightarrow If N, justification: NA

→ If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)?
 □Y ⊠NA
 → If Y, justification: NA

Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development)

Plan proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \qquad \boxtimes N$

Building Color (if authorizing development)

If color condition required, justification: NA

Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal

Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? $\boxtimes Y \qquad \Box N$ If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Project site is located within 5 miles of three known NLEB hibernaculum.

Vegetative cutting restrictions required? $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N$

If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply):

 \boxtimes on entire site outside limits of clearing

within NA feet of limits of clearing

 \Box within NA feet of road

□ within NA feet of river/lake/etc

Other: NA

Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above:

Cutting of all vegetation prohibited

Cutting of trees of NA diameter dbh prohibited

Other: trees, shrubs, or other woody stemmed vegetation

Justification: Minimize potential impacts to wetlands, surface waters and nearby land uses.

Plantings

Plan proposed and reviewed? $\boxtimes Y \square N$

If N, plantings required? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$

→ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: <u>Between the development, Dugway Road and</u> <u>nearby residential development:</u> 9 WHITE SPRUCE, 6-8'/40' O.C.; 21 EASTERN RED CEDAR, 5-6'/25' O.C.; 9 TAMARACK, 5-6'/30' O.C.; 9 ALTERNATE-LEAVED DOGWOOD, 2-3'/15' O.C.; 19 BAYBERRY, 2-3'/15' O.C.; 15 NANNYBERRY, 2-3'/15' O.C.

Bioretention Basins: 40 RED-OSIER DOGWOOD 2-3'/6' O.C.;75 VERBENA 2' O.C.; and 125 LOBELIA 18" O.C.

<u>Grass Seed Mix as Follows:</u> PRAIRIE DROPSEED, TALL WHITE BEARDTONGUE, CANADIAN ANEMONE, BLUEFLAG, COMMON MILKWEED, SWAMP MILKWEED, GREAT BLUE LOBELIA, WILD BERGAMOT, SMOOTH BLUE ASTER, LITTLE BLUESTEM, BOTTLEBRUSH GRASS. <u>Justification:</u> Planting to be implemented as soon as construction and final grading is completed to minimize potential off-site visual impacts from development as well as potential soil erosion and stormwater runoff.

Wetlands

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Minimize potential impacts to wetland and habitat.

Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Located in Town with ALLUP? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Project site is currently vacant.

Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): 29 (P2002-0128) Extinguishing PBs? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If Y, number: NA

Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)

Municipal system connection approved? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Community system connection approved by RASS? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Consult with RASS for additional conditions. $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$

Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Ensure that potential impacts to surface water and wetlands are minimized during and after construction.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Ensure that potential impacts to surface water and wetlands are minimized during and after construction.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: NA Justification: NA

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: No building color condition imposed due to the nature of the authorized development.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Invasive Species Control, Utility Installation, Documentation of Construction, Discontinuance of Use

Justification: Minimize the potential for the spread of invasive species to the project site, ensure impacts to nearby landowners are minimized, confirm compliance with permit and allow a change in land use going forward.

$\boxtimes \mathbf{Y} \quad \Box \mathbf{N} \quad \text{Public comments received} \qquad \text{If Yes, #: } 2$

□Y ⊠N Applicant submitted response (notes, if any) Two comment letters were received from adjoining land owners in general support of the project that raised questions regarding the

location of the point of interconnection with existing utilities and potential noise generation from the completed project.