

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2007-0320R3

Assigned EPS: BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text.Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): Saverio D. Ruffolo Landowner(s): Saverio D. Ruffolo Authorized Representative: N/a

PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Bolton County: Warren Road and/or Water Body: South Trout Lake Road Tax Map #(s): 185.-1-2.1 and 185.-1-2.8 Deed Ref: 1205/18 Land Use Area(s): \Box H \Box MIU \Box LIU \boxtimes RU \Box RM \Box IU Project Site Size: 9.15± acres

 \Box Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above

□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above

⊠Other (describe):Of the 80.28 contiguous acres owned by the project sponsor, only the 9.15 acres within the two tax map numbers above are part of the project.

Lawfully Created? X N Pre-existing subdivision: Click or tap here to enter text. River Area: Y N If Yes: Wild - Scenic - Recreational Name of River: Click or tap here to enter text.

CEAs (include all): Wetland - □Fed Hwy - □State Hwy - □State Land - □Elevation - □Study River

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The conveyance and development of two lots (i.e., Lot 1 and the Subdivision Road Lot) as part of an eight-lot residential subdivision encompassing the entire parcel. Lot 1 (Parcel 2.1, 7.06± acres) includes an area currently developed by a pre-existing single-family dwelling which is accessed by a driveway off "Kathy's Crossing," a private graveled road forming the southerly boundary of the subdivision site. This dwelling is served by an individual on-site well and a wastewater treatment system of uncertain age which, to date, shows no sign of failure. The location of a future replacement system is depicted on the project plans. The Subdivision Road Lot (Parcel 2.8, 2.09± acres) will include the subdivision road, 1,300± feet in length, end in a cul-de-sac, and will provide access to the other seven residential lots. This road will be two lanes wide except for a one-lane portion in the area of a proposed wetland fill, approximately 130 square feet in size.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

Wetlands subdivision; Sections 809(9) and 810 (1)(d)(1)(b) of the Adirondack Park Agency Act and a regulated activity requiring a wetlands permit pursuant to 9 NYCRR Sections 578.2 (a) and 578.3(n)(1)(i),(iii) and (iv).

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

Click or tap here to enter text.

Form Revised 9-1-21

Character of Area

FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING Neurise also Div

Lake	s, Pono	us, Navigable Rivers and Streams	Check if none 🖄
Wate	r Body	Name: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Leng	th of Ex	tisting Shoreline (feet): Click or tap here to enter tex	kt. MHWM determ: □Y □N
Minir	num Lo	t Width: Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard: \Box Y \Box N
Struc	ture Se	tback (APA Act):Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard: \Box Y \Box N
Struc	ture Se	tback (River Regs): Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard: \Box Y \Box N
$\Box Y$	⊡Ν Cι	utting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM?	If Yes, < 30% vegetation? \Box Y \Box N
$\Box Y$	$\Box N$	Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM?	If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? \Box Y \Box N
$\Box Y$	$\Box N$	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Ye	es, include under jurisdiction)

Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development

Classified? $\Box Y$ □ Permanent Stream ⊠Intermittent Stream $\square N$ DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream classification: Click or tap here to enter text.

Wetlands

 $\square \mathbf{Y}$ Jurisdictional wetland on property $\Box N$

 $\boxtimes \mathbf{Y}$ Proposed ground disturbance < 100 feet of wetlands \Box If Yes, stormwater management, $\square N$ including erosion and sediment control, reviewed and approved by RASS engineer $\square \mathbf{Y}$

- $\Box N$ Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction
 - \rightarrow If Y, covertype: Hardwood swamp and wet meadow
 - \rightarrow If Y, value rating: 2

Ecological / Wildlife

- $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat present, including bat
- $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Forest management plan existing or proposed If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted
- $\Box Y$ Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor $\boxtimes N$ \Box If Yes, completed

Special Districts

 $\Box Y$ Agricultural District $\boxtimes N$

Slopes □ RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0-15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: 0-15%

Soils

 $\bowtie \mathbf{Y}$ Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) Check if N/A $\Box N$ ☑ If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst? NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: Soils from 11 DHTP's reviewed as part of P2007-0320 in 2008. Soils are in the Bice soils series.

Stormwater

- $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Greater than 1 acre disturbance
- $\Box Y$ Wetland < 100 ft of proposed ground disturbance $\boxtimes N$

Chaok if none 🔽

Check if none

Nearby (include all): ⊠Residential ⊠Commercial □Industrial □Agricultural ⊠Forested Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: A mix of privately owned forest, residential homes, and a dog sitting business nearby.

Is nearby development visible from road? $\ \ \boxtimes Y \ \ \Box N$

 \rightarrow If Y, name road and describe visible development: South Trout Road

Additional Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): One single family dwelling

*** Attach Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivision, attach one for each lot)

FINDINGS OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW

ΠΛ	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to C	PRHPIf Yes, APA APO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from sit	e 🗌 If Yes, APA AHPO consulted
Πλ	$\boxtimes N$	Within Lake George Park	\Box If Yes, LGPC consulted / application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Public water supply	\Box If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
Πλ	$\boxtimes N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	\Box If Yes, DEC application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of water body	\Box If Yes, DEC application submitted
Π	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	\Box If Yes, DOH application submitted
Πλ	$\boxtimes N$	Army Corps involvement	\Box If Yes, ACOE consulted
×Υ	$\Box N$	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	oxtimes If Yes, Town/Village consulted

PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Merger

Justification if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.

Deed Covenant

Non-building lot being created? \boxtimes Y \square N If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: Subdivision Road Lot will be used as access road.

Easement

Easement proposed or required? $\Box Y \otimes N$ If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? $\Box Y \otimes N$ \rightarrow If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

Structure footprint limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

\rightarrow If N:

- \rightarrow Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? \boxtimes Y \Box N
- → Review of future development required? \square N

→ If Y, justification: Review of any new development is required to protect wetland resources.

Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling)

Proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$

If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? $\Box Y \Box N$

→ Justification for any conditions: Prior review of guest cottages needed to evaluate for visual impacts and for potential septic impacts to wetlands.

 Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y → If N, justification: Click or tap here to → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter term 	$\Box Y \Box N$
 Docks (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? If N, docks potentially allowed? → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text 	N/A □Y □N □Y □N ×t.
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) Plan proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \qquad \boxtimes N$	
Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: Click or tap h	N/A ere to enter text.
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? ☐ Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? ☐Y ⊠N	∃Y ⊠N
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? $\boxtimes Y \ \Box N$ If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): \Box within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits \boxtimes within 100 feet of road \Box within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of river/ \Box within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetla \Box Other: Click or tap here to enter text. OR \Box on entire site outside limits of clearing	lake/etc
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area Cutting of all vegetation prohibited Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. Other: Cutting of trees/shrubs/woody-stemmed ve	diameter dbh prohibited

construction.

Justification: Limiting clearing will allow for driveway access and screen future development from South Trout Lake Road.

Plantings

Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y ⊠N
If N, plantings required? □Y ⊠N
→ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text.
Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Located in Town with ALLUP? \boxtimes Y \square N (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? \square Y \square N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click or tap here to enter text.

Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? $\Box Y \Box N$ If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.

Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)

Municipal system connection approved?	\Box Y \boxtimes N
Community system connection approved by RASS?	$\Box Y \boxtimes N$
Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?	$\Box Y \boxtimes N$
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?	$\Box Y \Box N$
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?	$\Box Y \Box N$
Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?	$\boxtimes Y \Box N$
Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	

Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 feet of wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too. Justification: Following the stormwater plan will protect wetlands.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 feet of wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too. Justification: Following the erosion control plan will protect wetlands and intermittent streams during the construction process.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: No new single family dwellings proposed are jurisdictional to the Agency.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Click or tap here to enter text.

Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

⊠Y □N Public comments received If Yes, #: Four comment letters were received as part of the original project, citing concerns with wetlands fill, and impacts to plants, animals, and flood control benefits.

□Y ⊠N Applicant submitted response (notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2007-0320R3

If a subdivision: Lot #1 (7.06± acres)

Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text.Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

Existing Development			
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS			
<u>Structure - F</u>	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?
Single Family Dwelling	Y		Y
ACCESSORY STRUCTURE	S		
	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?
Click or tap here to enter text	t.		
Proposed Development	N/A		if portions or all below are NJ \Box
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS		Check if	proposed as a non-building lot: \Box
Structure	Footprint	Height	# Bedrooms Slopes
Have necessary density?		an here to enter	text. from □survey or □estimate
ACCESSORY STRUCTURE	-		
Structure	Footprint	Height	Slopes
Click or tap here to enter text		noight	010000
		•	sult RASS ecologist for driveway > ¼ mile
Driveway is ⊠existing /□pr	•	0 ft Width: 25 ft	
Sight distance evaluated?	•		
5 5		: Driveway is ex	kisting with access off Kathy Xing
Way (Note if HOA or shared main	,		
Need hwy access permit?			
	IY ⊠N		
Need signs?]Y ⊠N		
VISUAL / AESTHETIC			

⊠Y □N Proposed development visible from public areas (list) South Trout Lake Road

 \boxtimes Y \Box N Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained

 $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Planting plan proposed

WASTEWATER TREATMENT (WWTS) *Consult RASS engineer for engineered plans

 \boxtimes Individual on-site \square Municipal \square Community

- $\Box Y \Box N$ Slope suitable for WWTS (i.e., $\leq 8\%$ shallow, $\leq 15\%$ conventional)?
- $\Box Y \quad \Box N$ Soil suitable for WWTS (i.e., depth to SHGW and bedrock)?
- $\Box Y \Box N$ All water bodies or streams > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, needs variance from Town if ALLUP)
- $\Box Y \Box N$ If fast perc (1-3 min/in), water > 200 feet WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)
- $\Box Y \Box N$ All jurisdictional wetlands > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
- \boxtimes Y \Box N Suitable 100% replacement area identified?
- Existing and proposed to remain (needs suitable 100% replacement area)

WATER SUPPLY

 \boxtimes Individual on-site \square Municipal

 \boxtimes Y \square N All water supplies, on-site and off-site, > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)

STORMWATER / EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL *Consult RASS engineer

 $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N$ Does proposed development maintain existing drainage patterns?

- $\Box Y \otimes N < 1$ acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)
- $\Box Y \otimes N > 1$ acre disturbance proposed (SWPPP required, which includes E&S Control Plan)

UTILITIES

- Available on site? \boxtimes Y \square NAvailable at road? \boxtimes Y \square NProposed for site? \square Y \square N
- ☑ Overhead☑ Overhead

□ Overhead

- Underground
- Underground



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2007-0320R3

If a subdivision: Lot #Subdivision Road Lot (2.09± acres)

Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text.Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS				
Structure -	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully construe	<u>cted (Y/N)?</u>
None				
ACCESSORY STRUCTUR	ES			
Structure -	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully construe	cted (Y/N)?
None			·	
Proposed Development		Check	if portions or all below	v are NJ 🗆
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS		Check if	proposed as a non-buil	ding lot: 🗆
Structure	Footprint	Height	# Bedrooms	Slopes
Click or tap here to enter te				
Have necessary density?	□Y □N			
# remaining potential princi	pal buildings = <mark>Click or t</mark> a :ES			□estimate
Have necessary density? # remaining potential princi ACCESSORY STRUCTUR Structure Click or tap here to enter te	pal buildings = <mark>Click or ta</mark> ES Footprint	ap here to enter Height	text. from ⊡survey or Slopes	□estimate

VISUAL / AESTHETIC

 $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N$ Proposed development visible from public areas (list) South Trout Lake Road

 \boxtimes Y \Box N Existing topography / vegetation will screen, if retained

 $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Planting plan proposed

WASTEWATER TREATMENT (WWTS)

□ Individual on-site □ Municipal

N/A *Consult RASS engineer for engineered plans

- $\Box Y \Box N$ Slope suitable for WWTS (i.e., $\leq 8\%$ shallow, $\leq 15\%$ conventional)?
- $\Box Y \quad \Box N$ Soil suitable for WWTS (i.e., depth to SHGW and bedrock)?
- $\Box Y \Box N$ All water bodies or streams > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, needs variance from Town if ALLUP)
- $\Box Y \Box N$ If fast perc (1-3 min/in), water > 200 feet WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)
- $\Box Y \Box N$ All jurisdictional wetlands > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
- $\Box Y \quad \Box N$ Suitable 100% replacement area identified?
- Existing and proposed to remain (needs suitable 100% replacement area)

WATER SUPPLY

N/A

□ Individual on-site □ Municipal

 $\Box Y \quad \Box N \quad All \text{ water supplies, on-site and off-site, > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)}$

STORMWATER / EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL *Consult RASS engineer

 $\Box Y \otimes N$ Does proposed development maintain existing drainage patterns?

- $\Box Y \otimes N < 1$ acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)
- \boxtimes Y \square N > 1 acre disturbance proposed (SWPPP required, which includes E&S Control Plan)

UTILITIES

- Available on site? \Box Y \boxtimes NAvailable at road? \boxtimes Y \Box NProposed for site? \Box Y \Box N
- □ Overhead ⊠ Overhead

□ Overhead

- Underground