

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P#2022-0003

Assianed Review	Officer: Leigh Walrath	Reviewed by:	Date:

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): Lake George Park Commission

Landowner(s): New York State Office of General Services

Authorized Representative: Glenn Sullivan, Certified Lake Manager, Solitude Lake Management

PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Blairs Bay, Town of Hague

County: Warren

Road and/or Water Body: Lake George

Tax Map #(s): N/A
Deed Ref: N/A

Land Use Area/s: Under Water
Project Site Size: 0.33± acres

[] Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above

[] Only the H / MIU / LIU / RU / RM / IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above

Other (describe):

Lawfully Created? N/A

River Area: No

CEAs (include all): None

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project as conditionally approved herein involves the application of the aquatic herbicide ProcellaCOR EC (EPA Registration Number 67690-80; New York Special Local Needs Label Registration #SLN NY-19001) in Blairs Bay for purposes of controlling Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*). In total, the permittee will apply up to 4.2 gallons of ProcellaCOR EC within a 4-acre area in Blairs Bay; a permit is required from the Agency for application only within the 0.33±-acre wetland area.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

9 NYCRR Sections 578.2 and 578.3(n)(2)(i).

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED:

NONE SUPERSEDED

LGPC is authorized to undertake hand harvesting and benthic matting activities in accordance with P2016-21 (General Permit GP2015G-2)

<u>FINDI</u>	NGS O	F FACT - ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING		
	•	ls, Navigable Rivers and Streams	Check if none []	
	-	Name: Lake George		
_		isting Shoreline (feet): NA	MHWM determ:	Y N
		Width: NA	Meets standard: Y	N
		tback (APA Act): NA	Meets standard:	Y N
		back (River Regs): NA	Meets standard: s, < 30% vegetation? Y	Y N N
No No		g proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes g proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes	•	N
No		g proposed within 100 ft of filter area? (If		IN
	O G C C I	g proposed warm roo it er inter area. (ii	Too, morado arraor jarroarenerry	
Non-N	lavigal	ble Streams in proximity to developme	nt Check if none [X]
[]	Perma	nent Stream [] Intermittent S	tream Classified?	_
DEC E	Environ	mental Resource Mapper stream classific	cation:	
187 41				
Wetla				
⊠Y	\square N	Jurisdictional wetland on property, or		
$\boxtimes Y$		Wetlands are a basis of development jur	isdiction $oxtimes$ if Yes, RASS biologist co	nsulted
		, covertype: Deep water marsh		
		, value rating: Value 1 (per 578.5(q))	ad.	
		Draining, dredging, excavation of wetlar		
		of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter	text. Permanent? □ Y □N	
Ш,		Fill/structure in wetlands	•	
		ucture area: Click or tap here to enter tex	L.	
Ш		Shading of wetland of shading: Click or tap here to enter text.		
		Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS t	forester consulted	
		cut area: Click or tap here to enter text.	orester consumed	
		Untreated stormwater discharge into we	tland	
		Pollution discharge into wetland	laria	
		on type: Click or tap here to enter text.		
\bowtie		Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetlan	ıd	
		on type: ProcellaCOR EC (EPA Registra		ork Special
Local		Label Registration #SLN NY-19001)		отк ороски
		,		
	•	Wildlife		
$\boxtimes Y$	\square N	Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or h		
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Forest management plan existing or prop		•
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Biological Survey required by RASS Biol	•	es, completed
		ng cut. At the treatment concentration	the herbicide does not impac	t mammals or
	ebrate			
		natic plant survey was submitted. Alte		
one s	ite in ti	race amounts. Alternate- flowering wa	ter militoli is listed as threatene	ea by the NYS

Natural Heritage Program, although the plant is historically known to be present in 35 unique locations not including treatment site, and a 2020 professional opinion by Larry Eichler

(Research Scientist, Retired) from the Darrin Freshwater Institute indicates that the species is

2

regionally secure in Lake George. The Natural Heritage Program website indicates that chemical treatments to control Eurasian watermilfoil pose an ongoing threat to alternate-flowering water milfoil. In New York alternate-flowering watermilfoil typically emerges in July, which is outside the proposed treatment window and associated timeframe for degradation for this project. However, it is unknown if herbicide residuals in sediment will negatively impact this species. For purposes of review of this proposal, the Agency assumed potential for impacts to alternate-flowering water milfoil from application of ProcellaCOR EC.

•	ial Dis ⊠N	tricts Agricultural District		
or tap	ng slop	☐ RASS engineer consulted if structure propose pe range: Click or tap here to enter text. Build o enter text. ble		
NRC	□N ∕es, so	il data information determined or approved by ped Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or to	/ RASS soil	analyst?
Storn □Y □Y			by RASS engir	neer
Nearb Adjoir Is nea	oy (incl ning La arby de	of Area ude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial □Indu und Uses / State Land: N/A evelopment visible from road? □Y □N N/A eroad and describe visible development: Clic	J	
Addit	tional I	Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.)	: Click or ta	p here to enter text.
	Attach pplica	n Individual Lot Development Worksheet (i ble	f a subdivis	sion, attach one for each lot)
FIND	INGS (OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW		
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to	OPRHP	oxtimes If Yes, APA APO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from	site	\square If Yes, APA AHPO consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Within Lake George Park	⊠If Yes, L	GPC consulted / application submitted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Public water supply	\Box If \circ	Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater		\square If Yes, DEC application submitted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of water body		\square If Yes, DEC application submitted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres ea	ch	☐ If Yes, DOH application submitted

□Y ⊠N ⊠Y □N N/A	N	Army Corps involvement Agency-approved Local Land Use Progr FWA-only Projects	am		☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted☐ If Yes, Town/Village consulted☐
PERMIT (COI	NDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS			
Merger Justification	on i	f merger required: NA			
	ling d lot	lot being created? □ Y ⊠N is not being merged by condition, no PB	s? Or r	no structures	at all? Justification: Click or
	t pr	oposed or required? □Y ⊠N vith Legal for conditions. Justification: Cl	ick or t	ap here to e	nter text.
		n Location and Size (may be different to opment (other than oswts) being authorized Structure height limit and justification: Cl	ed with	out further A	gency review? □Y □N
		Structure footprint limit and justification:	Click o	r tap here to	enter text.
\rightarrow	Rev	eptable development sites identified for a view of future development required? , justification: Click or tap here to enter te	$\Box Y$	division lots v □N	vith PB allocation? □Y □N
Proposed If N	l and	ges (if authorizing a dwelling) N/A d reviewed? □Y □N uest cottages potentially allowed? □Y tification for any conditions: Click or tap h		enter text.	
Proposed If N → If Y, re	l and N, be viev	(if project site contains shoreline) N/A d reviewed? □Y □N cathouses potentially allowed? □Y → If N, justification: Click or tap here to every required (beyond definition limits)? ustification: Click or tap here to enter text	□N enter te	ext. □N	
Proposed If N, dock → If N, just → If Y, re	l and s po stific viev	bject site contains shoreline) N/A d reviewed? btentially allowed? cation: Click or tap here to enter text. by required (beyond definition limits)? sustification: Click or tap here to enter text	□Y □Y □Y	□ N □ N □ N	

Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) N/A Plan proposed and reviewed? \Box Y \Box N
Building Color (if authorizing development) N/A If color condition required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal N/A Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? \[\textstyre{\textstyr
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above: Cutting of all vegetation prohibited Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited Other: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Plantings N/A Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, plantings required? □Y □N → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) N/A Located in Town with ALLUP? \Box Y \Box N (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density. Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? \Box Y \Box N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click or tap here to enter text.
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? \Box Y \Box N If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) N/A Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? □Y□N Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? □Y□N If N has RASS field verified location for conventional standard transh system? □V□N

Suitable 1	,	□Y □N □Y □N
Consult w wetlands	ater Management (if authorizing development) N/A with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstancion: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Consult w wetlands	and Sediment Control (if authorizing development) N/A with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstancion: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Constructi	cture Construction (if authorizing development) N/A tion necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. ion: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Plantings	nits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removed s why no condition is needed: N/A	oval, or
Invasive splants vis	al Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed species control, inspection for and flagging of any alternate-flowering water sible before treatment and analyzing for impacts post-treatment, post-treatmentioring, post-treatment plant survey, post-treatment report	
controlled alternate-post-tread alternate-protect the concentral was under within La Departure benefits of (benthic respective).	tion: Requiring the project to occur as proposed will ensure that the target plant while the native plant community remains intact. Inspecting for and flagging eflowering water milfoil plants visible before treatment and analyzing for impact the same plants were flagged will help to provide data on potential impact eflowering water milfoil from treatment, including whether timing alone is sufficient to species. The post treatment monitoring and analysis of herbicide residue rations and of the plant community will allow the Agency to confirm that the pertaken as authorized and will provide additional data on the overall plant contake George and on impacts from treatment to alternate-flowering water milfoil for from 9 NYCRR Section 578.10(a)(1) compelled by economic, social, and other of effective control of Eurasian watermilfoil. Alternative methods of treatment matting, hand harvesting, DASH) are less effective and less discriminate, negligible and plant of the plant contains and plant of the	ng any acts ts to fficient to project mmunity I. ner
⊠Y □N		_
⊠Y □N	N Applicant submitted response (notes, if any) Yes – received April 6, 2022	2