

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2022-0266

Assigned EPS: D. Korn Reviewed by: Date: January 25, 2023

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): NY 789 Tarbell Hill, LLC Landowners: Peter P. Zelinski, Kathryn M. Zelinski and Judson P. Zelinski Authorized Representative: Rocky Shoemaker, New Energy Equity

PROJECT SITE

Town/Village: Moriah County: Essex Road and/or Water Body: Tarbell Hill Rd (C.R. 42), Dugway Rd (C.R. 4) and Stony Brook Tax Map #(s): 97.13-1-25.100 Deed Ref: Instrument Number 2020-3974, recorded October 1, 2020, in the Essex County Clerk's Office under Instrument Number 2020-3974 Land Use Area(s): \Box H \Box MIU \boxtimes LIU \Box RU \Box RM \Box IU Project Site Size: 100± acres \boxtimes Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above \Box Only the \Box H \Box MIU \Box LIU \Box RU \Box RM \Box IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above \boxtimes Other (describe):North side of Tarbell Hill Rd (C.R. 42) and west of Dugway Rd (C.R. 4) Lawfully Created? \boxtimes Y \Box N \boxtimes Pre-existing subdivision: NA River Area: \Box Y \boxtimes N If Yes: \Box Wild - \Box Scenic - \Box Recreational Name of River: NA CEAs (include all): \boxtimes Wetland - \Box Fed Hwy - \Box State Hwy - \Box State Land - \Box Elevation - \Box Study River

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A subdivision by lease for the construction of a 5 MW photovoltaic solar generation facility within two separate fenced areas totaling 23 acres on approximately 31 acres of leased lands. The solar generation facility involves installation of solar panel arrays mounted on a tracking system and related infrastructure including a new access road, fencing, landscaping, and utility connections. Existing and proposed access to the project site is from Tarbell Hill Road and Dugway Road. The project includes improvements to existing access locations on each road resulting in one stabilized gravel access to the project site to seven new utility poles with an overall height of less than 40 feet located adjacent to Tarbell Hill Road and then overhead to the existing overhead electric utility lines along the public roadway.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

APA Act Section 810(1)(c)(1)(b) – Subdivision (by lease) involving wetlands APA Act Section 810(1)(c)(17) – Major Public Utility Use in Low Intensity Use land us area 9 NYCRR Part 578.3(n)(3)(i) – Lease lot areas are located within 200 feet of wetland boundaries

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

Permits 90-368, 90-618, 92-23, 99-214, and 2002-128. These permits each authorized a two-lot subdivision and the construction of one single family dwelling along Tarbell Hill Road. Agency Permit 2021-0033 authorized a 5 MW solar generating facility on the project site and is being superseded. Vegetation has been cleared on the project site and a lease agreement has been filed pursuant to P2021-0033.

FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams Check if none Water Body Name: NA Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): NA MHWM determ: $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Minimum Lot Width: NA Meets standard: $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Structure Setback (APA Act):NA Meets standard: $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ Structure Setback (River Regs): NA Meets standard: $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$ \Box Y \boxtimes NA Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? \Box Y \boxtimes NA \Box Y \boxtimes NA Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes. < 30% trees 6" dbh? \Box Y \boxtimes NA □Y ⊠NA Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)

Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development

⊠Permanent Stream Classified? $\boxtimes Y$ □ Intermittent Stream $\Box N$ DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream name and classification: Stony Brook - C(T) Classification C is for waters supporting fisheries and (T) indicates that the waterway may support a trout population.

Wetlands

- $\boxtimes Y \square N$ Jurisdictional wetland on property, or
- □Y ⊠N Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction ⊠ RASS biologist consulted
 - \rightarrow If Y, covertype: deciduous swamp and emergent marsh
 - \rightarrow If Y, value rating: 2
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland Area of wetland loss: n/a Permanent? DY DN
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Fill/structure in wetlands
 - Fill/structure area: n/a
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Shading of wetland Area of shading: n/a
 - □Y ⊠N Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted Clearcut area: n/a
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Pollution discharge into wetland Pollution type: n/a
 - $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland Pollution type: n/a

Check if none □

Ecological / Wildlife

 \boxtimes Y \Box N Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat present, including bat

□Y ⊠N Forest management plan existing or proposed □ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted

 $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor \Box If Yes, completed

Special Districts

⊠Y □N Agricultural District

Slopes \Box *RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%* Existing slope range: 0 - 15% Building area(s) if authorizing development: <10%

Soils

☑Y □N Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot)
 ☑ If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst
 NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: In-situ soils data contained in SWPPP approved by RASS for stormwater management purposes.

Stormwater

 \boxtimes Y \Box N Greater than 1 acre disturbance, or

 \boxtimes Y \square N Proposed ground disturbance < 100 feet from wetlands

Character of Area

Nearby (include all): \square Residential \square Commercial \square Industrial \square Agricultural \square Forested Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: Multiple private residential uses and a commercial use adjoin the project site. Closest State land is Hammond Pond Wild Forest located >5 miles to the west of the site.

Is nearby development visible from road? $\boxtimes Y \ \Box N$

→ If Y, name road and describe visible development: Private residential development and uses are visible from Tarbell Hill and Dugway Road. A cemetery is located to the north of the project site

Additional Existing Development and Uses of the Project Site: Agricultural uses and forest land. A mixture of open agricultural fields, hedgerows with some mature vegetation, watercourses, wetlands, and a large forested area in the northern and eastern portion of the southern section of the property. The agricultural fields are currently used for the growing of a rotation of crops.

Existing Development

PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS								
Structure	-	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?				
None								

ACCESSORY STRUCTURES

Structure	-	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully	<pre>/ constructed (</pre>	<u>(Y/N)?</u>
None						

Proposed Development		Check	if portions or all below	🛙 are NJ 🗆
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS		Check if	proposed as a non-buil	ding lot: 🗆
Structure	Footprint	Height	# Bedrooms	Slopes
None	-	-		-

Have necessary density? \square N \square N # remaining potential principal buildings = 29 (P2002-0128) from \square survey or \square estimate

ACCESSORY STRUCTURES

Structure	Footprint	Height	Slopes	
540 W panels (13,896)	n/a*	< 8 Feet	< 10 %	
Fencing	n/a	8 Feet	< 10 %	
Equipment Pads (2)	1,500 SF	At Grade	< 8 %	
Meter, Disconnect, Transformer	On E. Pads	< 8 Feet	n/a	
Utility Poles (7)	n/a	< 40 Feet	< 8 %	

*Total Footprint of Panels and Equipment Pads is ±8.29 acres.

Sight of Need of constru- Tarbel lease of Need I	vay is distanc Clearin ucted f I Hill R (Note if hwy ac easem	⊠ existing /⊠ e evaluated? g/Grading? rom Dugway F d. The improv HOA or shared n cess permit? ent?	propo ⊠Y ⊡Y Road a ed acc naintena	sed □N ⊠N and one cesses ance inv	Length: 60 – 250 f Slopes: < 1% Comments: One 6 250' gravel drivew will be located with olved)	eet Width: 20 feet 0' gravel driveway wit	ill be constructed from
VISUA	AL / AE	STHETIC					
$\boxtimes Y$	□N	Proposed dev	velopn	nent vis	sible from public are	as (list) Tarbell Hill ar	id Dugway Roads
⊠Y	□N	• •	••••	•	etation will screen, if	retained	
⊠Y	□N	Planting plan	propo	sed		⊠ If Yes, RASS foresti	ry analyst consulted
WASTEWATER TREATMENT (WWTS) *Consult RASS engineer for engineered plans Check if N/A 🖂							
🗆 Indi	ividual	on-site	🛛 Mu	nicipal	is available	Community	
$\Box \mathbf{Y}$	$\boxtimes NA$	Slope suitable	for W	WTS (i	i.e., ≤8% shallow, ≤	15% conventional)?	
ΠY	⊠NA Soil suitable for WWTS (i.e., depth to SHGW and bedrock)?						
$\neg \mathbf{V}$		المعطية معامية		•			

- \Box Y \Box NA All water bodies or streams > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, needs variance from Town if ALLUP)
- \Box Y \Box NA If fast perc (1-3 min/in), water > 200 feet WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)
- $\Box Y \equiv NA$ All jurisdictional wetlands > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)
- $\Box Y \otimes A$ Suitable 100% replacement area identified?
- □ Existing and proposed to remain (needs suitable 100% replacement area)

WATER SUPPLY Check if N/A

 \Box Individual on-site \boxtimes Municipal is available

 $\Box Y \equiv NA All water supplies, on-site and off-site, > 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)$

STORMWATER / EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL *Consult RASS engineer

- $\boxtimes Y \quad \Box N$ Does proposed development maintain existing drainage patterns?
- □Y ⊠N <1 acre disturbance proposed (May need E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risk)
- $\boxtimes Y = \square N > 1$ acre disturbance proposed (SWPPP required, which includes E&S Control Plan)

UTILITIES

- Available on site? $\Box Y$ Available at road? $\boxtimes Y$ Proposed for site? $\boxtimes Y$
- □ Overhead
 ⊠ Overhead
 ⊠ Overhead
- Underground
 - ☑ Underground

□ Underground

FINDINGS OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW

 $\boxtimes \mathbf{N}$

 $\square N$

 $\square N$

ΠY	⊠N	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to C	OPRHPImage: OPRHP consulted
×Υ	□N	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from si	te
Πλ	⊠N	Within Lake George Park	□ If Yes, LGPC consulted / application submitted
Πλ	⊠N	Public water supply	☐ If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
Πλ	⊠N	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
Πλ	⊠N	Disturbing bed or bank of water body	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
Πλ	⊠N	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	n □If Yes, DOH application submitted
Πλ	⊠N	Army Corps involvement	☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted
Πλ	⊠N	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	□ If Yes, Town/Village consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\Box N$	Local Land Use Program	oxtimes If Yes, Town/Village consulted

PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Merger

Justification if merger required: NA

Deed Covenant

Non-building lot being created? \Box Y \boxtimes N If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: NA

Easement

Easement proposed or required? \boxtimes Y \square N If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Underground utilities will extend between the array areas and be directionally bored beneath the Stony Brook and wetland area.

Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? \boxtimes Y \square N → If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Array Sections: 8 feet. Fencing: 8 feet. Utility Poles < 40 feet. As proposed and reviewed to minimize the potential for off-site visual impacts.

Structure footprint limit and justification: Arrays and associated components: As proposed and

reviewed to ensure effective stormwater management and erosion controls are implemented during and after construction.

\rightarrow If N:

- \rightarrow Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? \Box Y \boxtimes N
- \rightarrow Review of future development required? $\Box Y \Box N$
- \rightarrow If Y, justification: Ensure potential wetland impacts are avoided or minimized.

Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling)	Check	if N/A	\boxtimes
Proposed and reviewed? □Y ⊠N If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? → Justification for any conditions: NA	ΠA	⊠NA	
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline Proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \otimes N$	ne)	Check	tif N/A ⊠
If N, boathouses potentially allowed? \rightarrow If N, justification: NA	Πλ	⊠NA	
 → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits → If Y, justification: NA 	s)?	Πλ	⊠NA
Docks (if project site contains shoreline)	Check	if N/A	\boxtimes
Proposed and reviewed?		$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$
If N, docks potentially allowed?		$\Box Y$	⊠NA
\rightarrow If N, justification: NA			
 → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits → If Y, justification: NA 	s)?	Π	⊠NA
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing developme Plan proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \qquad \boxtimes N$	nt)		
Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: NA			
			□N ite is located within 5 miles of three
If color condition required, justification: NA Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrence If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justifica	tion: Pi ⊠Y [): road river/la wetlan	roject s ⊒N ke/etc ds	ite is located within 5 miles of three

Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above:

Cutting of all vegetation prohibited

Cutting of trees of NA diameter dbh prohibited

Other: trees, shrubs, or other woody stemmed vegetation

Justification: Minimize potential impacts to wetlands, surface waters and nearby land uses.

Plantings

Plan proposed and reviewed? $\boxtimes Y \ \Box N$

If N, plantings required? $\Box Y \boxtimes NA$

→ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: <u>Between the development, Dugway Road and</u> <u>nearby residential development:</u> 9 WHITE SPRUCE, 6-8'/40' O.C.; 21 EASTERN RED CEDAR, 5-6'/25' O.C.; 9 TAMARACK, 5-6'/30' O.C.; 9 ALTERNATE-LEAVED DOGWOOD, 2-3'/15' O.C.; 19 BAYBERRY, 2-3'/15' O.C.; 15 NANNYBERRY, 2-3'/15' O.C. <u>Bioretention Basins:</u> 40 RED-OSIER DOGWOOD 2-3'/6' O.C.;75 VERBENA 2' O.C.; and 125 LOBELIA 18" O.C.

<u>Grass Seed Mix as Follows:</u> PRAIRIE DROPSEED, TALL WHITE BEARDTONGUE, CANADIAN ANEMONE, BLUEFLAG, COMMON MILKWEED, SWAMP MILKWEED, GREAT BLUE LOBELIA, WILD BERGAMOT, SMOOTH BLUE ASTER, LITTLE BLUESTEM, BOTTLEBRUSH GRASS. <u>Justification:</u> Planting to be implemented as soon as construction and final grading is completed to minimize potential off-site visual impacts from development as well as potential soil erosion and stormwater runoff.

Wetlands

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Minimize potential impacts to wetland and habitat.

Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Located in Town with ALLUP? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Project site is currently vacant.

Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): 29 (P2002-0128) Extinguishing PBs? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If Y, number: NA

Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)

Municipal system connection approved?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
Community system connection approved by RASS?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?	\Box Y \boxtimes NA
Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	

Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Ensure that potential impacts to surface water and wetlands are minimized during and after construction.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Ensure that potential impacts to surface water and wetlands are minimized during and after construction.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: NA Justification NA

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: No building color condition imposed due to the nature of the authorized development.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Invasive Species Control, Utility Installation, Documentation of Construction, Discontinuance of Use

Justification: Minimize the potential for the spread of invasive species within the project site, ensure impacts to nearby landowners are minimized, confirm compliance with permit and allow a change in land use going forward.

⊠Y Public comments received If Yes, #: 1

 $\Box \mathbf{Y}$ $\boxtimes N$

Applicant submitted response (notes, if any) One comment received inquiring about the proposed changes to the project compared to the last project authorized for the site.