

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2022-0037

Assigned EPS: BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): Carver Sand & Gravel, LLC Landowner(s): Carver Sand & Gravel, LLC

Authorized Representative: Dave Ingalls, Ingalls & Associates, LLP

P	R	0	J	E	C	Γ	S	П	Έ
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Town/Village: Ephratah County: Fulton
Road and/or Water Body: NYS Route 10 & 29
Tax Map #(s): 1301-8.1, 1301-72, and 1301-8.2
Deed Ref: Book 1073 Page 221, Instrument Number 2020-63924
Land Use Area(s): □H □MIU □LIU □RU ⊠RM □IU
Project Site Size: 321.25± acres
⊠Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above
□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above
□Other (describe):Click or tap here to enter text.
Lawfully Created? ⊠Y □N □Pre-existing subdivision: Click or tap here to enter text.
River Area: □Y 図N If Yes: □Wild - □Scenic - □Recreational Name of River: Click or tap here to enter
text.
CFAs (include all): \(\partial \text{Wetland} - \partial \text{Fed Hwy} - \partial \text{State Land} - \partial \text{Flevation} - \partial \text{Study River}

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The continued operation of an existing commercial sand and gravel extraction and mineral extraction, as well as expansion of mine operations on Parcels 72 and 8.1 and expansion of mine related activities onto a recently acquired adjoining lot (Parcel 8.2).

The mine will increase Monday through Friday trucking and loadout hours from the current hours of 7 am to 5 pm to new hours of 6 am to 6 pm, with no change to Saturday trucking and loadout hours of 8 am to 2 pm. The mine will increase blasting from twice a month, not to exceed 18 blasts a year with a seasonal restriction, to up to three times per month, not to exceed 30 blasts a year with no seasonal restriction. The mine will increase the possible number of truck trips from the current maximum of 75 trips per day and up to 100 trips per day for 10 days a year, to a maximum of 200 trips per day. Maintenance of equipment and vehicles will continue to occur between the hours of 6 am to 9 pm Monday through Saturday.

On Parcel 8.2, stormwater controls and mitigation measures for visual and sound screening will be expanded in the form of a berm with tree plantings and stormwater retention basins. A portion of Parcel 8.2 will be used for equipment storage and material stockpiling. The existing single family dwelling will remain and be used as a dwelling.

The area to be affected during the term authorized by this permit is 100.5±acres. The life of mine set by this permit will be 166.7±acres. No changes are proposed to the hours for excavation, grading, stockpiling, crushing, and other reclamation activities (7 am to 5 pm Monday through Friday and 8 am to 2 pm on Saturdays).

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

EINDINGS OF EACT ENVIDONMENTAL SETTING

Pollution type: Click or tap here to enter text.

APA Act 810(1)(e)(10) Commercial sand and gravel extractions; 810(1)(e)(12) Mineral extractions; 810(1)(e)(17) greater than 25% expansions of commercial sand and gravel extractions and/or mineral extractions; P2008-0246C permit conditions

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

P2008-0246C

TINDINGS OF TACT - ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams	Check if none $oxtimes$
Water Body Name: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): Click or tap here to enter text.	MHWM determ: □Y □N
Minimum Lot Width: Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard:□Y □N
Structure Setback (APA Act):Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard: □Y □N
Structure Setback (River Regs): Click or tap here to enter text.	Meets standard: □Y □N
\Box Y \Box N Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 3	30% vegetation? \Box Y \Box N
\Box Y \Box N Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 3	0% trees 6" dbh? □Y □N
\Box Y \Box N Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include ι	ınder jurisdiction)
Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development	Check if none \Box
⊠Permanent Stream □Intermittent Stream Classified? ⊠	Y □N
DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream classification: C(TS) and C(Γ)
Wetlands	
⊠Y □N Jurisdictional wetland on property, or	
\Box Y $oxtimes$ N Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction $oxdot$ If Yes, RAS	SS biologist consulted
→ If Y, covertype: Click or tap here to enter text.	
→ If Y, value rating: Click or tap here to enter text.	
□Y ⊠N Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland	
Area of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text. Permanent?	∃Y □N
□Y ⊠N Fill/structure in wetlands	
Fill/structure area: Click or tap here to enter text.	
□Y ⊠N Shading of wetland	
Area of shading: Click or tap here to enter text.	
□Y ⊠N Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted	
Clearcut area: Click or tap here to enter text.	
□Y ⊠N Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland	
□Y ⊠N Pollution discharge into wetland	
Pollution type: Click or tap here to enter text.	
□Y ⊠N Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland	

Ecolo	ogical /	/ Wildlife							
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat present, including bat							
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Forest management plan existing or proposed							
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor							
Spec	ial Dist	tricts							
ΠY	$\boxtimes N$	Agricultural District							
Slope Existi		\square RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on > pe range: 0-60% Building area(s) if authorizing developments	-						
	□N ∕es, so	Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for eve il data information determined or approved by RAS ped Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or tap her	S soil analyst?						
Storn	nwater								
⊠Y		Greater than 1 acre disturbance, or							
□Y	⊠N	Proposed ground disturbance < 100 feet from wet	lands						
		es, stormwater management reviewed and approved by RAS							
		ack to wetlands: Click or tap here to enter text.	-						
Nearb Adjoin Is nea	oy (incl ning La arby de	of Area ude all): ⊠Residential ⊠Commercial □Industrial und Uses / State Land: Resource Management, Har evelopment visible from road? ⊠Y □N e road and describe visible development: NYS Rou	nlet, Park boundary						
	•	Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Crus	•						
		ce and scale, and single family dwelling.	maintenance garage and emee,						
***	Attach	n Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a su	bdivision, attach one for each lot)						
		•	,						
FIND	INGS (OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW							
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPR	HP ☐ If Yes, APA APO consulted						
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	\Box If Yes, APA AHPO consulted						
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Within Lake George Park □	Yes, LGPC consulted / application submitted						
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Public water supply	\square If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted						
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted						
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing bed or bank of water body	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted						
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	☐ If Yes, DOH application submitted						
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Army Corps involvement	☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted						
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	☐ If Yes. Town/Village consulted						

PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Merger Justification if merger required: Click or tap here to e	enter text.	N/A
Deed Covenant Non-building lot being created? □ Y ⊠N If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no P tap here to enter text.	PBs? Or no struct	ures at all? Justification: Click o
Easement Easement proposed or required? □Y ⊠N If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification:	Click or tap here	to enter text.
Construction Location and Size (may be different ls new development (other than oswts) being author → If Y: Structure height limit and justification:	ized without furth	er Agency review? □Y □N
Structure footprint limit and justification	ո։ Click or tap her	e to enter text.
 → If N: → Acceptable development sites identified for → Review of future development required? → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) 	$\Box Y \Box N$	ots with PB allocation? □Y □N N/A
Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? □Y → Justification for any conditions: Click or tap		ct.
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y → If N, justification: Click or tap here to → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter te	$\Box Y \Box N$	N/A
Docks (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? If N, docks potentially allowed? → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	□Y □N □Y □N □Y □N	N/A
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y ⊠N		

Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	N/A
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N	
Vegetative cutting restrictions required? If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of road □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of river/lake/etc □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands □Other: Click or tap here to enter text. OR □on entire site outside limits of clearing	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above: ⊠Cutting of all vegetation prohibited □Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited □Other: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: To limit visual impacts, and to mitigate potential noise and air qual	lity (dust) impacts
Plantings Plan proposed and reviewed? ⊠Y □N If N, plantings required? □Y □N → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: White Pine, planted every toplanted spring and fall until established. Justification: To provide additional screening and sound buffers to adjoining lar mine.	
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) Located in Town with ALLUP? □Y ☒N (If Y, STOP, Town Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? □Y If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: S constructed in 2000	
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): next in guishing PBs? $\square Y \boxtimes N$ If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.	o change
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?	N/A

Consult with RASS for additional conditions.

Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 feet of wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too. Justification: To protect water resources from mine operations and runoff, the new stormwater management plan must be followed.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 feet of wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text.

Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: No new structures are being authorized, so no color condition is required.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Mine hours of operation, blasting limits, and trucking limits are required.

Justification: These conditions help to mitigate impacts to adjoining landowners from mine operations, such as potential visual, noise, or air quality impacts.

⊠Y	\square N	Public comments received	If Yes, #: 12
⊠Y	\square N	Applicant submitted response	(notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2022-0037

If a subdivision: Lot #Project Site (321.25± acres)

Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text.Date: Click or tap to enter a

uate.			
Existing Development PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS			
Structure -	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?
Maintenance garage/office			Y
Single family dwelling	N		
ACCESSORY STRUCTUR	RES		
Structure -	Pre-existing (Y/N)?	-	Lawfully constructed (Y/N)?
Office	N		Y
Proposed Development		Check if	\Box portions or all below are NJ \Box
PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS			oroposed as a non-building lot: □
Structure	Footprint	Height	# Bedrooms Slopes
No structure(s) proposed			
Have necessary density?			
# remaining potential princi	pal buildings = no chan	ge from □survey	or □estimate
ACCESSORY STRUCTUR	RES		
Structure	Footprint	Height	Slopes
No structure(s) proposed		<u> </u>	·
ACCESS *Consult	D100		U DAGO and a sid for discourse 1/ mile
	-	•	ult RASS ecologist for driveway > 1/4 mile
Driveway is ⊠existing /□		400 ft Width: 70 ft	
Sight distance evaluated?			
0 0			ng and no changes are proposed.
•			rage. Additional roadways exist on
the property. (Note if HOA or s		1)	
Need hwy access permit?			
Need easement?	□Y ⊠N		
Need signs?	$\square Y \boxtimes N$		

VI20	AL / AI	E21HE11C					
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Proposed of	developmen	t visible from public a	reas (list) Click or tap here to ente	r text.	
⊠Y	\square N	Existing to	oography / v	egetation will screen,	if retained		
⊠Y	$\square N$	• .	an proposed	•		nsulted	
WAS	TEWA [.]	TER TREAT	MENT (WW	/TS) *Consult RASS eng	ineer for engineered plans		
⊠ Ind	dividual	l on-site	☐ Munici	ipal 🗆 Commi	ınity		
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Slope suita	ble for WW	TS (i.e., ≤8% shallow	≤15% conventional)?		
□Y	$\square N$	Soil suitabl	e for WWTS	(i.e., depth to SHGV	/ and bedrock)?		
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	All water be	odies or stre	eams > 100 feet WWT	S? (If No, needs variance – from Towr	າ if ALLUP)	
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	If fast perc	(1-3 min/in)	, water > 200 feet WV	VTS? (If No, amended soils required)	•	
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	All jurisdict	ional wetlan	ds > 100 feet WWTS	? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)		
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Suitable 10	00% replace	ment area identified?	,		
⊠ Ex	isting a	and proposed	d to remain	(needs suitable 100% rep	placement area)		
WAT	ER SU	PPLY					
⊠ Ind	dividual	l on-site	☐ Munici	ipal			
⊠Y	$\square N$	All water su	upplies, on-s	site and off-site, > 100	feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiv	er)	
STOF	RMWA	TER / EROS	ION & SED	IMENT CONTROL *C	Consult RASS engineer		
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Does propo	osed develo	pment maintain existi	ng drainage patterns?		
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$						
⊠Y	$\square N$	> 1 acre di	sturbance pi	roposed (SWPPP requi	ed, which includes E&S Control Plan)		
The a	area to	be affected of	during the te	erm authorized by this	permit is 100.5± acres.		
The li	ife of m	ine set by th	is permit wil	l be 166.7± acres.			
Recla	amation	is an on-go	ing process.				
	ITIES						
	able on		\Box N	□ Overhead			
Availa	able at	road? ⊠Y	$\square N$		☐ Underground		
Propo	osed fo	r site? □Y	$\boxtimes N$	□ Overhead	☐ Underground		