

PERMIT WRITING FORM – P2021-0213

Assigned EPS: BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

APPLICANT

Project Sponsor(s): Schuyler, LLC Landowner(s): Schuyler, LLC

Authorized Representative: Anthony Vaccarielli

P	R	O	J	Е	CT	SI	T	E

Authorized Representative. Anthony vaccanelli
PROJECT SITE
Town/Village: Corinth County: Saratoga
Road and/or Water Body: Dayton Drive and NYS 9N
Tax Map #(s): 732-56
Deed Ref: 2018-015747
Land Use Area(s): ⊠H □MIU □LIU ⊠RU □RM □IU
Project Site Size: 52.33± acres
⊠Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above
□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above
☐ Other (describe): Click or tap here to enter text.
Lawfully Created? ⊠Y □N □ Pre-existing subdivision: Click or tap here to enter text.
River Area: Y N If Yes: Wild - Scenic - Recreational Name of River: Click or tap here to enter
text.
CEAs (include all): ⊠Wetland - □Fed Hwy - □State Hwy - □State Land - □Elevation - □Study River

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A 4-lot subdivision creating three residential building lots (6.07± acres, 8.06± acres, and 11.6± acres) to be accessed using a shared driveway off Dayton Drive and one lot with no proposed development along NYS 9N (26.6± acres). Either one single family dwelling or one multiple family dwelling (i.e., a duplex) is proposed on each residential building lot, to be served by an individual on-site wastewater treatment system and municipal water.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

APA Act Sections 810(1)(a)(1) All subdivisions of land involving wetlands in Hamlet land use areas and 810(1)(d)(1)(b) for subdivisions involving wetlands in Rural Use land use areas; APA Act Section 810(2)(c)(2)(b) Creation of a non-shoreline subdivision lot less than 320,000 square feet (7.35 acres) in size.

9 NYCRR Part 578.3(n)(3)(i) A subdivision involving wetlands.

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

none

FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams

Check if none ⊠

Water	Body I	Name: Click or tap here to enter text.		
Length	n of Ex	isting Shoreline (feet): Click or tap here to enter	text.	MHWM determ: □Y □N
Minim	um Lot	Width: Click or tap here to enter text.		Meets standard:□Y □N
Structi	ure Set	tback (APA Act):Click or tap here to enter text.		Meets standard: \Box Y \Box N
Structi	ure Set	tback (River Regs): Click or tap here to enter to	ext.	Meets standard: $\Box Y \Box N$
		tting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM?		0% vegetation? □Y □N
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM?		0% trees 6" dbh? □Y □N
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If	Yes, include ur	nder jurisdiction)
	_	ble Streams in proximity to development		Check if none \Box
	evant C		Classified D M	/ □NI
		t Stream □Intermittent Stream	Classified? ⊠Y	′ □N
		mental Resource Mapper stream classification: outary of Sturdevant Creek:	AA(1)	
		t Stream □Intermittent Stream	Classified? ⊠Y	′ □N
		mental Resource Mapper stream classification:		
<u>DLU L</u>		montal resource mapper		
Wetla	nds			
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Jurisdictional wetland on property, or		
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction	on 🗌 If Yes, RAS	S biologist consulted
		, covertype: deciduous and dead forested, and	shrub swamp	
		, value rating: 1		
□'		Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland		_
		of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text.	Permanent?] Y □ N
		Fill/structure in wetlands		
		ucture area: Click or tap here to enter text.		
□'		Shading of wetland		
		of shading: Click or tap here to enter text.		
□ `		Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester cut area: Click or tap here to enter text.	consuitea	
		Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland		
		Pollution discharge into wetland		
		on type: Click or tap here to enter text.		
		Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland		
_		on type: Click or tap here to enter text.		
		OSWTS within 100 feet of a wetland		
	Distan	ce to Wetland: Click or tap here to enter text.		
Ecolo	gical /	Wildlife		
$\Box Y$	⊠N	Natural Heritage Sites/listed species or habitat	present, includi	ing bat
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Forest management plan existing or proposed	\square If Yes, R	ASS forestry analyst consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2	2 or Supervisor	\square If Yes, completed
Specia	al Dist	ricts		
\Box Y	$\boxtimes N$	Agricultural District		

Slope Existin		\square RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, de range: 0-25% Building area(s) if authorizing developm	-
		Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every buil I data information determined or approved by RASS soil ed Soil Series or Other Comments: Windsor loamy sand	analyst?
Storm □Y □Y	⊠N ⊠N □ If Ye	Greater than 1 acre disturbance, or Proposed ground disturbance < 100 feet from wetlands es, stormwater management reviewed and approved by RASS engilo ck to wetlands: 280 ft	neer
Nearb Adjoin Is nea → If Y	ing Lar rby de\	ude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial ⊠Industrial □Ag nd Uses / State Land: Residential homes, timber harvest velopment visible from road? ⊠Y □N e road and describe visible development: Dayton Drive ar	ing company, school.
***	Attach	ixisting Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Click or ta Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivis	•
		F FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP	\square If Yes, APA APO consulted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	☐ If Yes, APA AHPO consulted
□Y	⊠N	Solar Project > 50 acres requiring ZVI & historic invento	•
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	•	GPC consulted / application submitted
□Y	⊠N		Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
□Y	⊠N	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
□Y	⊠N ⊠N	Disturbing 200 L For more of a stream (town L norm)	· _
□Y □Y	⊠N ⊠N	Disturbing 300 LF or more of a stream (temp + perm) Disturbing ½ acre of Corps wetlands (temp + perm)	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
□Y	⊠N	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted ☐ If Yes, DOH application submitted
□Y	⊠N	Army Corps involvement *	☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted
□Y	⊠N	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	☐ If Yes, Town/Village consulted

PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

Merger

^{*-} Review the Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) thresholds for the <u>Buffalo District</u> and the <u>New York District</u> to help determine if an application (PCN) needs to be submitted to the Corps. Additionally, review the <u>Section 10 waters list</u> to determine if a Section 10 Navigable Waters permit might be required from the Corps.

Deed Covenant Non-building lot being created? ☐ Y $\boxtimes N$ If Yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? Justification: No development is currently proposed on Lot 4. The permit requires Agency review of any future dwelling or principal building on Lot 4. **Easement** Easement proposed or required? ⊠Y $\square N$ If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: To allow for a driveway and utilities to Lots 1 and 3 along Lot 2. **Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)** Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? ⊠Y $\square N$ \rightarrow If Y: Structure height limit and justification: 40 feet. To limit visual impacts. Structure footprint limit and justification: 3200 square feet, as proposed, to protect nearby water and wetland resources and limit potential visual impacts → If N: → Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? □Y □N → Review of future development required? $\Box Y$ → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) Proposed and reviewed? □Y If N, quest cottages potentially allowed? $\boxtimes Y \square N$ → Justification for any conditions: Prior review to evaluate location, adequately sized septic, and protect water and wetland resources. **Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline)** Proposed and reviewed? □Y If N, boathouses potentially allowed? $\square Y$ \square N → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? $\square Y$ $\square N$ → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. Docks (if project site contains shoreline) Proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y$ \square N If N, docks potentially allowed? $\square Y$ $\square N$ → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? $\square Y$ $\square N$ → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text. **Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development)** Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y $\boxtimes N$

Justification if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.

Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: n/a Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y $\boxtimes N$ Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y ⋈N Vegetative cutting restrictions required? ⊠Y If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing □within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of road □ within Click or tap here to enter text, feet of river/lake/etc □ within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands ☑Other: No cutting within the wetlands buffer and no cut buffer OR □on entire site outside limits of clearing Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above: ⊠Cutting of all vegetation prohibited ☐ Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited □ Other: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: To protect water resources, streams, wetlands, steep slopes, and to mitigate visual impacts from development, vegetation must be retained. Vegetation will serve as a property delineation between the proposed development and adjoining landowners. **Plantings** Plan proposed and reviewed? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ If N, plantings required? $\Box Y \boxtimes N$ → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text. Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) Located in Town with ALLUP? □Y ⊠N (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density.) Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? □Y ⋈N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Vacant, no existing principal buildings Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): 4 in Rural Use land use area Extinguishing PBs? □Y ⊠N If Y, number: n/a Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) Municipal system connection approved? $\square Y \boxtimes N$ Community system connection approved by RASS? $\square Y \boxtimes N$

Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?

If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?

If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?

 $\boxtimes Y \square N$

 $\square Y \square N$

 $\square Y \square N$

Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	$\boxtimes Y \square N$
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)	
Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development w	ithin 100 feet of

wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Justification: n/a

Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within 100 feet of wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circumstances too. Justification: Erosion and sediment control plan to protect water and wetland resources.

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: No color condition due to the Hamlet land use area.

Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

Condition restricting the Rural Use portion of Lots 1 and 2 from being sold separately from the Hamlet portion. Condition requiring hookup to the municipal water system.

Justification: No principal building rights available on the Rural Use portion of the lots, also has limited separation from the wetlands. Requiring municipal water hookup due to limited separation space from new and existing septic systems.

$\boxtimes Y$	\square N	Public comments received	If Yes, #: Six comments received from three
<u>indiv</u>	riduals.	One petition with 45 signatures.	
⊠Y	\square N	Applicant submitted response	(notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW - P#2021-0213

If a subdivision: Lot #1 (6.07± acres) Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date. **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Vacant **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? <u>Structure</u> Click or tap here to enter text. Check if portions or all below are NJ \square **Proposed Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ Footprint Heiaht # Bedrooms Slopes Single Family Dwelling/Duplex 3,400 square feet 40 feet 4 0-5% Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = n/a in Hamlet. 0 in Rural use from \square survey or \square estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes Click or tap here to enter text. ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /⊠proposed Length: 245 feet of shared driveway and 40 feet of driveway on lot 1. Width: 15 feet wide Sight distance evaluated? ⊠Y \square N Slopes: 0-5% Need Clearing/Grading? $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ $\square N$ Comments: The first length of driveway off Dayton drive will be shared with adjoining lots. (Note if HOA or shared maintenance involved) Need hwy access permit? $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Need easement? $\bowtie Y$ $\square N$ Need signs? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ **VISUAL / AESTHETIC** $\square Y$ Proposed development visible from public areas (list) Click or tap here to enter text.

$\boxtimes Y$	\sqcup N	EXISTI	ng topo	grapny /	vegetation will scre	een, it retained	
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Plantir	ng plar	propose	ed	☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted	
WAS	TEWAT	ER TR	REATM	ENT (W	WTS) *Consult RASS	S engineer for engineered plans	
\boxtimes Inc	dividual	on-site	:	☐ Muni	cipal □ Cor	mmunity	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Slope	suitab	le for WV	VTS (i.e., ≤8% shal	llow, ≤15% conventional)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Soil su	uitable	for WW7	S (i.e., depth to SF	HGW and bedrock)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All wa	ter boo	lies or st	reams > 100 feet W	VWTS? (If No, needs variance – from Town if ALLU	JP)
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	If fast	perc (1	I-3 min/ir	n), water > 200 feet	t WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All juri	sdictio	nal wetla	nds > 100 feet WW	VTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Suitab	le 100	% replac	ement area identifi	ied?	
□ Ех	isting a	nd prop	posed	to remair	າ(needs suitable 100%	% replacement area)	
WAT	ER SUF	PPLY					
	dividual		;	⊠ Muni	cipal		
$\Box Y$					•	· 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)	
STOR	ZMWAT	FR/F	ROSIO	N & SE	DIMENT CONTRO	DL *Consult RASS engineer	
⊠Y	□N					existing drainage patterns?	
⊠Y	\square N				•	d E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risl	k)
_ ·	⊠N					required, which includes E&S Control Plan)	٠,
	T.E.O.					,	
UTILI		0					
	able on		⊠Y	□N		3	
	able at r		$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$		- 9	
Propo	sed for	site?	$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	☐ Overhead	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW - P#2021-0213

If a subdivision: Lot #2 (8.06± acres) Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date. **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Vacant **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? <u>Structure</u> Click or tap here to enter text. Check if portions or all below are NJ \square **Proposed Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ Footprint Heiaht # Bedrooms Slopes Single Family Dwelling/Duplex 3,400 square feet 40 feet 4 0-5% Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = n/a in Hamlet. 0 in Rural use from \square survey or \square estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes Click or tap here to enter text. ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /⊠proposed Length: 245 feet of shared driveway and 250 feet of driveway on lot 2. Width: 15 feet wide Sight distance evaluated? ⊠Y \square N Slopes: 0-5% Need Clearing/Grading? $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ $\square N$ Comments: The first length of driveway off Dayton drive will be shared with adjoining lots. (Note if HOA or shared maintenance involved) Need hwy access permit? $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Need easement? $\bowtie Y$ $\square N$ Need signs? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ **VISUAL / AESTHETIC** $\square Y$ Proposed development visible from public areas (list) Click or tap here to enter text.

$\boxtimes Y$	\sqcup N	EXISTI	ng topo	grapny /	vegetation will scre	een, it retained	
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Plantir	ng plar	propose	ed	☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted	
WAS	TEWAT	ER TR	REATM	ENT (W	WTS) *Consult RASS	S engineer for engineered plans	
\boxtimes Inc	dividual	on-site	:	☐ Muni	cipal □ Cor	mmunity	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Slope	suitab	le for WV	VTS (i.e., ≤8% shal	llow, ≤15% conventional)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Soil su	uitable	for WW7	S (i.e., depth to SF	HGW and bedrock)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All wa	ter boo	lies or st	reams > 100 feet W	VWTS? (If No, needs variance – from Town if ALLU	JP)
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	If fast	perc (1	I-3 min/ir	n), water > 200 feet	t WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All juri	sdictio	nal wetla	nds > 100 feet WW	VTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Suitab	le 100	% replac	ement area identifi	ied?	
□ Ех	isting a	nd prop	posed	to remair	າ(needs suitable 100%	% replacement area)	
WAT	ER SUF	PPLY					
	dividual		;	⊠ Muni	cipal		
$\Box Y$					•	· 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)	
STOR	ZMWAT	FR/F	ROSIO	N & SE	DIMENT CONTRO	DL *Consult RASS engineer	
⊠Y	□N					existing drainage patterns?	
⊠Y	\square N				•	d E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risl	k)
_ ·	⊠N					required, which includes E&S Control Plan)	٠,
	T.E.O.					,	
UTILI		0					
	able on		⊠Y	□N		3	
	able at r		$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$		- 9	
Propo	sed for	site?	$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	☐ Overhead	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW – P#2021-0213

If a subdivision: Lot #3 (11.6± acres) Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date. **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Vacant **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? <u>Structure</u> Click or tap here to enter text. Check if portions or all below are NJ \square **Proposed Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ Footprint Heiaht # Bedrooms Slopes Single Family Dwelling/Duplex 3,400 square feet 0-5% 40 feet 4 Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings D= n/a in Hamlet. from ⊠survey or □estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes Click or tap here to enter text. ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /⊠proposed Length: 245 feet of shared driveway and 120 feet of driveway on lot 3. Width: 15 feet wide Sight distance evaluated? ⊠Y \square N Slopes: 0-5% Need Clearing/Grading? $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ $\square N$ Comments: The first length of driveway off Dayton drive will be shared with adjoining lots. (Note if HOA or shared maintenance involved) Need hwy access permit? $\Box Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Need easement? $\bowtie Y$ $\square N$ Need signs? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ **VISUAL / AESTHETIC** $\square Y$ Proposed development visible from public areas (list) Click or tap here to enter text.

$\boxtimes Y$	\sqcup N	EXISTI	ng topo	grapny /	vegetation will scre	een, it retained	
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Plantir	ng plar	propose	ed	☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted	
WAS	TEWAT	ER TR	REATM	ENT (W	WTS) *Consult RASS	S engineer for engineered plans	
\boxtimes Inc	dividual	on-site	:	☐ Muni	cipal □ Cor	mmunity	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Slope	suitab	le for WV	VTS (i.e., ≤8% shal	llow, ≤15% conventional)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Soil su	uitable	for WW7	S (i.e., depth to SF	HGW and bedrock)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All wa	ter boo	lies or st	reams > 100 feet W	VWTS? (If No, needs variance – from Town if ALLU	JP)
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	If fast	perc (1	I-3 min/ir	n), water > 200 feet	t WWTS? (If No, amended soils required)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All juri	sdictio	nal wetla	nds > 100 feet WW	VTS? (If No, counts as permit jurisdiction)	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Suitab	le 100	% replac	ement area identifi	ied?	
□ Ех	isting a	nd prop	posed	to remair	າ(needs suitable 100%	% replacement area)	
WAT	ER SUF	PPLY					
	dividual		;	⊠ Muni	cipal		
$\Box Y$					•	· 100 feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH waiver)	
STOR	ZMWAT	FR/F	ROSIO	N & SE	DIMENT CONTRO	DL *Consult RASS engineer	
⊠Y	□N					existing drainage patterns?	
⊠Y	\square N				•	d E&S Control Plan if water/slope/soil resources at risl	k)
_ ·	⊠N					required, which includes E&S Control Plan)	٠,
	T.E.O.					,	
UTILI		0					
	able on		⊠Y	□N		3	
	able at r		$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$		- 9	
Propo	sed for	site?	$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	☐ Overhead	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	



INDIVIDUAL LOT DEVELOPMENT REVIEW - P#2021-0213

If a subdivision: Lot #4 (26.6± acres) Assigned EPS:BHH Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date. **Existing Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? Structure Vacant **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Pre-existing (Y/N)? Lawfully constructed (Y/N)? <u>Structure</u> Click or tap here to enter text. Check if portions or all below are NJ \square **Proposed Development** PRINCIPAL BUILDINGS Check if proposed as a non-building lot: □ # Bedrooms Structure Footprint Height Slopes None proposed Have necessary density? ⊠Y $\square N$ # remaining potential principal buildings = 4 from ⊠survey or □estimate **ACCESSORY STRUCTURES** Structure Footprint Height Slopes Click or tap here to enter text. ACCESS *Consult RASS engineer for driveway > 12% slope / *consult RASS ecologist for driveway > ½ mile Driveway is □existing /□proposed Length: Click or tap here to enter text. Width: Click or tap here to enter text. Sight distance evaluated? □Y $\boxtimes \mathsf{N}$ Slopes: Click or tap here to enter text. Need Clearing/Grading? $\square N$ Comments: Lot 4 has road frontage along NYS Route 9N for $\boxtimes \mathsf{Y}$ possible future access (Note if HOA or shared maintenance involved) Need hwy access permit? ⊠Y $\square N$ Need easement? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ Need signs? $\square Y$ $\boxtimes N$ VISUAL / AESTHETIC n/a - no development proposed Proposed development visible from public areas (list) Click or tap here to enter text.

\bowtie Y	\sqcup N	Existing to	opograpny / v	egetation will screen,	it retained	
□Y	\Box N	Planting p	olan proposed	ď	☐ If Yes, RASS forestry analy	st consulted
WAS	TEWA	TER TREA	TMENT (WW	/TS) *Consult RASS engi	neer for engineered plans	
Deep	-hole so	oils test pits	s evaluated, l	out no development pr	oposed.	
⊠ Ind	dividual	on-site	☐ Munic	ipal 🗆 Commι	ınity	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Slope suit	table for WW	TS (i.e., ≤8% shallow,	≤15% conventional)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	Soil suital	ole for WWTS	S (i.e., depth to SHGW	and bedrock)?	
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All water l	bodies or stre	eams > 100 feet WWT	S? (If No, needs variance – from	Town if ALLUP
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	If fast per	c (1-3 min/in)	, water > 200 feet WV	/TS? (If No, amended soils requi	red)
$\boxtimes Y$	$\square N$	All jurisdic	ctional wetlan	ds > 100 feet WWTS	(If No, counts as permit jurisdicti	on)
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Suitable 1	00% replace	ment area identified?	, , ,	•
□Ех	isting a	nd propose	ed to remain	(needs suitable 100% rep	lacement area)	
\A/A T		 DDL V :-/-			·	
				nent proposed		
		on-site	☐ Munic	•	fact MANTES (III)	
□Y	$\square N$	All water s	supplies, on-s	site and oii-site, > 100	feet WWTS? (If No, need DOH	waiver)
STOR	RMWA	ΓER / ERO	SION & SED	IMENT CONTROL *C	onsult RASS engineer	
n/a -	no deve	elopment p	roposed			
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	Does prop	oosed develo	pment maintain existi	ng drainage patterns?	
$\Box Y$	$\square N$	< 1 acre o	listurbance p	roposed (May need E&S	Control Plan if water/slope/soil res	sources at risk)
$\Box Y$	\Box N	> 1 acre d	listurbance p	roposed (SWPPP requir	ed, which includes E&S Control Pla	an)
UTIL	ITIES					
Availa	able on	site? ⊠	Y □N		☐ Underground	
Availa	able at	road? ⊠`	Y □N		☐ Underground	
Propo	osed for	r site? □\	Y ⊠N	☐ Overhead	☐ Underground	