

## PERMIT WRITING FORM - P2024-0040

Assigned EPS: A Ziemann Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

<u>APPLICANT</u>					
Project Sponsor(s): Town of Caroga					
Landowner(s): Click or tap here to enter text.					
Authorized Representative: : Glenn Sullivan, Re	eady Scout				
PROJECT SITE					
Town/Village: Caroga County: Fulton					
Road and/or Water Body: East and West Carog	ja Lakes				
Tax Map #(s): NA					
Deed Ref: NA					
Land Use Area(s): □H □MIU □LIU □RU	□RM □IU				
Project Site Size: 37.8± acres					
☐Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above					
	□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above				
⊠Other (describe):Treatment Plan Map					
_awfully Created? □Y □N □ Pre-existing subdivision: Click or tap here to enter text.					
River Area: □Y ⊠N If Yes: □Wild - □Scenic -	☐Recreational Name of River: Click	or tap here to enter			
text.					
CEAs (include all): $oxtimes$ Wetland - $oxtimes$ Fed Hwy - $oxtimes$ State H	lwy - □State Land - □Elevation - □Study Riv	er			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION					
Application of the aquatic herbicide ProcellaCO	R FC within four treatment zones i	n Fast and West			
Caroga Lakes, to control Eurasian watermilfoil.	TO Within Toda treatment zones i	II Last and West			
oaroga Lanco, to control Laracian waterminen.					
JURISDICTION (including legal citation)					
9 NYCRR Part 578					
PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMEI					
None Superseded; Prior Permits: P2000-0010;	P92-0051; P95-0030; P90-0295; I	P2004-0285;			
P2004-0285A; P1994-0371; 2016-0141					
FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SE	TTING				
Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams	<del></del> S	Check if none $\square$			
Water Body Name: East and West Caroga Lake					
Length of Existing Shoreline (feet): NA	MHWM determ: □Y □N				
Minimum Lot Width: NA	Meets standard:□Y □N				
Structure Setback (APANA Act):NA	Meets standard: □Y □N				
,					

Structure Setback (River Regs): NA Meets standard: □Y □N
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N
□Y ⊠N Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)
Non-Navigable Streams in proximity to development Check if none ⊠
□Permanent Stream □Intermittent Stream Classified? □Y □N
DEC Environmental Resource Mapper stream classification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Wetlands
oxtimes Y $oxtimes N$ Jurisdictional wetland on property, or
$\boxtimes Y$ $\square N$ Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction $\boxtimes$ If Yes, RASS biologist consulted
→ If Y, covertype: Deepwater Marsh
→ If Y, value rating: TA1 is value 3; TA2 is value 1; TA 3 is value 3; TA4 is value 1
☐Y ⊠N Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland
Area of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text. Permanent? $\Box Y \Box N$
□Y ⊠N Fill/structure in wetlands
Fill/structure area: Click or tap here to enter text.
□Y ⊠N Shading of wetland
Area of shading: Click or tap here to enter text.
□Y ⊠N Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted
Clearcut area: Click or tap here to enter text.
☐Y ⊠N Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland
□Y ⊠N Pollution discharge into wetland
Pollution type: Click or tap here to enter text.
Pollution type: ProcellaCor EC
☐Y ⊠N OSWTS within 100 feet of a wetland
Distance to Wetland: Click or tap here to enter text.
Ecological / Wildlife
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Forest management plan existing or proposed $\Box$ If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Biological Survey required by RASS Biologist 2 or Supervisor $\Box$ If Yes, completed
Chariel Districts
Special Districts
□Y ⊠N Agricultural District
Slance NA
Slopes NA   RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15%  Existing along range. Click or tan bare to enter tout. Building area (a) if outborizing development. Click
Existing slope range: Click or tap here to enter text. Building area(s) if authorizing development: Click or tap here to enter text.
or tap here to enter text.
Soils NA
$\Box$ Y $\Box$ N Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) Check if N/A $\boxtimes$
☐ If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst?
NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or tap here to enter text.
The second secon

Storr	nwater	·NA	
□Y	□N	Greater than 1 acre disturbance, or	
□Y	□N	Proposed ground disturbance < 100 feet from wetlands	
		es, stormwater management reviewed and approved by RASS engir	neer
		ack to wetlands: Click or tap here to enter text.	
		·	
_	acter c		
	• (	ude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial □Industrial □Ag	
,	0	Ind Uses / State Land: Shaker Mountain Wild Forest; DEC	Campground
	-	evelopment visible from road?   Y  NA	- 4444
		e road and describe visible development: Click or tap her	
Addi	tional I	Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Dam at ou	itlet of West Caroga Lake
***	Attach	n Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivis	sion, attach one for each lot)
		OF FACT - COORDINATED REVIEW	(
⊠Y		Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP (L	etter of No Impact on File)
		APO consulted	
□Y	⊠N	Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site	☐ If Yes, APA AHPO consulted
□Y	⊠N	Solar Project > 50 acres requiring ZVI & historic invento	
□Y	⊠N	_	GPC consulted / application submitted
□Y	⊠N	• • •	Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted
□Y	⊠N	Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
□Y	⊠N	Disturbing bed or bank of classified/navigable water boo	<u> </u>
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing 300 LF or more of a stream (temp + perm)	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Disturbing ¼ acre of Corps wetlands (temp + perm)	☐ If Yes, DEC application submitted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each	$\square$ If Yes, DOH application submitted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Army Corps involvement *	$\Box$ If Yes, ACOE consulted
$\boxtimes Y$	$\Box$ N	Agency-approved Local Land Use Program	⊠ If Yes, Town/Village consulted
		e-Construction Notification (PCN) thresholds for the <u>Buffalo District</u> a pplication (PCN) needs to be submitted to the Corps. Additionally, re	
		ection 10 Navigable Waters permit might be required from the Corps.	
<u>PERI</u>	MIT CO	NDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	
_	er NA		
Justif	rication	if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.	
		nant NA	
		lot being created? ☐ Y ☐ N	
		ot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no struct	ures at all? Justification: Click or
tan here to enter text			

Easement proposed or required? $\Box Y = \Box N$ If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA  Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? □Y  → If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Structure footprint limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
<ul> <li>→ If N:</li> <li>→ Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? □Y □N</li> <li>→ Review of future development required? □Y □N</li> <li>→ If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>				
Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) NA  Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? □Y □N  → Justification for any conditions: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) NA  Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y □N  → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.  → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? □Y □N  → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Docks (if project site contains shoreline) NA  Proposed and reviewed?				
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) NA Plan proposed and reviewed? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N				
Building Color (if authorizing development) NA If color condition required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal NA  Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N  Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N				
Vegetative cutting restrictions required?  \( \subseteq \text{N} \)  If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply):  \( \subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing } \)  \( \subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of road } \)  \( \subseteq \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of river/lake/etc} \)				

<ul> <li>□ Within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands</li> <li>□ Other: Click or tap here to enter text.</li> <li>OR □ on entire site outside limits of clearing</li> </ul>	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above:  Cutting of all vegetation prohibited  Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited  Other: Click or tap here to enter text.  Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Plantings NA  Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, plantings required? □Y □N  → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text.  Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA Located in Town with ALLUP? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N (If Y, STOP, Town ov Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click of enter text.	•
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) NA	
Municipal system connection approved?	$\Box$ Y $\Box$ N $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N
Community system connection approved by RASS?  Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?	
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?	
If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?	
Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?  Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	□Y□N
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development with wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circulatification: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development) NA  Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development with wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circular light control control conditions.	

## Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development) NA Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.

## For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings NA

Explain why no condition is needed: Click or tap here to enter text.

## Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

- A: Condition restricting timing of treatment to mid May/June of treatment year
- B: Application must be within the treatment locations proposed, and in accordance with DEC Pesticides Permit
- C: Compliance with "Clean Drain Dry" standards for all equipment to be used in the application
- D: Conduct post treatment concentration monitoring as described in the Sampling Plan.
- E: Conduct post treatment aquatic plant survey between August 1 and September 15 of the treatment year, repeating the survey methodology of the pre-treatment survey.
- F: Post treatment status report to be provided to the Agency by December 1 of the treatment year, to include post treatment residue monitoring report and post treatment aquatic plant survey results
- Justifications: A: Target plants will be smaller early controlled early in the growing season will be smaller than they are later in the season. This will result resulting in reduced decaying biomass and associated water quality impacts
- B: Dosage control is pursuant to DEC permit; Application in areas other than those depicted on the map may have associated impacts that haven't been reviewed, or may involve underwater lands under different ownerships
- C: Clean Drain Dry standards will limit potential for spread of invasive plant material from other waterbodies
- D: Post treatment residue monitoring will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized
- E: Post Treatment aquatic plant survey will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized, will provide data to assess efficacy of the treatment, and will provide assessment of non-target impacts

$\boxtimes Y$	$\square$ N	Public comments received	If Yes, #: 12 letters (19 individuals)
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	Applicant submitted response	(notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.