

Assigned EPS

ANDREW M. CUOMO Governor

Form Finalized:

TERRY MARTINO Executive Director

Date

PERMIT WRITING FORM - P#2019-0023

Reviewer

Date

APPLICANT TO THE PROPERTY OF T
Project Sponsor: John R. Looes
Landowner: John R. Looes
Authorized Representative: NA
PROJECT SITE
Town/Village: Black Brook
County: Clinton
Road and/or Water Body: Silver Lake Road, Bonnie View Road and Newberry Pond
Tax Map #: 3301-5
Deed Ref: Jean Looes to John R. Looes, dated March 30, 2005, and April 6, 2005 in the Clinton
County Clerk's Office under Instrument Number 2005-00181137.
Land Use Area: Low Intensity Use
Project Site Size: 183± acres
[X] Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above
[] Only the H / MIU / LIU / RU / RM / IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above
[] Other (describe):
Lawfully Created? Yes (P89-464A) [] Pre-existing subdivision:
River Area: No If Yes: Wild - Scenic - Recreational Name of River:
CEAs (include all): Yes Wetland - Fed Hwy - State Hwy - State Land - Elevation - Study River

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A seven-lot subdivision to create six residential building lots ranging in area from 3.05-acres to 4.65-acres, and one 162±-acre lot containing existing residential development (Lot 7). A location for the construction of one single family dwelling and an individual on-site wastewater treatment system and well water supply has been identified for each of the six building lots. An existing woods road will provide access to lots 1 through 6 from Silver Lake Road. This access road, the trail to the shoreline, and the common area along the shoreline of Newberry Pond will comprise part of Lot 7, and an easement will be provided to the individual lot owners for use of the road and common area.

JURISDICTION (including legal citation)

Pursuant to Sections 809(2)(a) and 810(1)(c)(1)(b) of the Adirondack Park Agency Act and Adirondack Park Agency regulations at 9 NYCRR Part 578, a permit is required from the Adirondack Park Agency prior to any subdivision involving wetlands on Low Intensity Use lands in the Adirondack Park.

Pursuant to Sections 809(2)(a) and 810(b)(1) of the Adirondack Park Agency Act, a permit is required from the Adirondack Park Agency prior to any subdivision involving ten or more lots, parcels, or sites in a Low Intensity Use land use area in the Adirondack Park.

Condition 2 of Project and Permit 89-464A restricts further subdivision of land or any other new land use and development .

PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED

P89-464A

<u>FINE</u>	<u> DINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTIN</u>	<u>IG</u>						
	es, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams		Check if nor	ne []				
	er Body Name: Newberry Pond							
_	th of Existing Shoreline: 1,250 feet			M determ:	No			
	num Lot Width: 125 feet			standard:	Yes			
	cture Setback (APA Act): 75 feet cture Setback (River Regs): NA			standard: standard:	Yes NA			
	Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Ye	s ~ 30% vegs		NA	INA			
	Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Ye			NA				
	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)							
[]	Navigable Streams in proximity to developred Permanent Stream [X] Intermittent Stream Class	Stream	Check if nor Classified?					
Wetl	ands							
Yes	Jurisdictional wetland on property							
If Y:	and the second of the second o		Yes, RASS biolo	-				
	overtype: Wetlands located on the project site i ed northwest of the existing woods road and a				_			
	ed northwest of the existing woods road and a ed near Silver Lake Road with a value rating of							
	rtype wetlands along most of the shoreline of N							
	sh within the pond and have a value of 3.	,	,		•			
→ Lo	ocated < 200 ft from proposed development or a → If Y, value rating: 4	along shorelin	e Yes (d	conifer swam	p)			
Wild								
	Rare/threatened/endangered species		_					
	R/T/E or other unique species habitat [] If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted							
	Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences in Town [X] If Yes, RASS ecologist consulted Forest management plan existing or proposed [] If Yes, RASS forestry analyst consulted							
No	Biological Survey required by RASS ecologist			'Si CONSUILEU				
Ecol	ogical / Special Districts							
	Natural Heritage Sites	[] If Yes, RAS	SS ecologist con	sulted				
	Aquifer	[] If Yes, RAS	•					
No	Agricultural District							

Slopes [] RASS engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% Existing slope range: 0 – 25% Building area(s) if authorizing development: < 8%
Yes Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot) [] Check if N/A [X] If Yes, soil data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst NRCS Mapped Soil Series or Other Comments: Test pits completed by Agency staff for all proposed building lots indicate greater than 48 inches to seasonal high groundwater.
Character of Area Nearby (include all): Residential — Commercial — Industrial — Agricultural — Forested Adjoining Land Uses / State Land: Private Residential and Forestry Uses Is nearby development visible from road? No → If Y, name road and describe visible development:
Additional Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): NA
*** Attach Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivision, attach one for each lot)
No Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP [] If Yes, APA APO consulted No Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site [] If Yes, APA AHPO consulted No Within Lake George Park [] If Yes, LGPC consulted / application submitted Yes Greater than 1 acre disturbance / SWPPP required [X] If Yes, DEC application submitted No Public water supply [] If Yes, DEC / DOH application submitted No Greater than 1,000 gpd wastewater [] If Yes, DEC application submitted No Disturbing bed or bank of water body [] If Yes, DEC application submitted Yes Creating 5 or more lots less than 5 acres each No Army Corps involvement [] If Yes, DOH application submitted No Agency-approved Local Land Use Program [] If Yes, Town/Village consulted
PERMIT CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS
Merger Justification if merger required:
Deed Covenant Non-building lot being created? No If yes and lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? No Justification:
Easement Easement proposed or required? Yes

If Y, consult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Required for access and utilities from Silver Lake Road to all six residential building lots.

Construction Location and Size (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Lots 1 through 6: Is new development being authorized without further Agency review?

Yes: Single Family Dwellings and Accessory Structures

→ If Y: Structure height limit and justification: ≤30 feet for all structures; as proposed and reviewed, Agency will review any height increase

Structure footprint limit and justification: ≤4,000 sq feet for single family dwelling and ≤600 sq feet for accessory structures; as proposed and reviewed, Agency will review any increase in footprint

Lot 7: Is new development being authorized without further Agency review?

Yes: Accessory Structures

→ If Y: Structure height limit and justification: ≤30 feet; as proposed and reviewed, Agency will review any height increase

Structure footprint limit and justification: ≤1,250 sq feet of floor space; Lot 7 has few resource constraints other than wetlands in locations greater than 150 feet from Newberry Pond. The Agency will review any increase in footprint to ensure compliance with the Overall Intensity Guidelines and review any location within 150 feet of Newberry Pond or other adjoining land uses to avoid adverse impacts to those resources.

Yes

No: Principal Buildings

 \rightarrow If N:

- → Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? No
- → Review of future development required?

→ If Y, justification: Subdivision/additional PBs will result in greater than 10 lots, parcels or sites since May 22, 1973

Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling)

Proposed and reviewed?

No
If N, guest cottages potentially allowed?

Yes

→ Justification for any conditions: Ensure that the necessary wastewater treatment capacity can be met within the limitations of the site and ensure against any other potential impacts.

Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline)

Proposed and reviewed? No If N, boathouses potentially allowed? Yes

→ If N, justification:

→ If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? Yes

→ If Y, justification: Wetlands are located along the shoreline of Newberry Pond. Preservation of the character of the area and address concerns regarding the quality of the shoreline.

Docks (if project site contains s Proposed and reviewed?	shoreline)	Yes				
If N, docks potentially allowed?		NA				
 → If N, justification: → If Y, review required (beyond do → If Y, justification: 	efinition limits)?	NA				
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing Plan proposed and reviewed?	development)	No				
Building Color (if authorizing development) If color condition required, justification: Additional visual protections for Lots 1 – 3 and Lot 6 to screen potential visual impacts to Newberry Pond and mitigate adverse impacts to adjoining land uses.						
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? Yes If Y, consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: The entire project site is located within 5 miles of a known Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrence and clearing will be necessary for the development of Lots 1 – 6. No additional clearing is currently proposed for Lot 7.						
Vegetative cutting restrictions required (choose [] within feet of limits of [] within feet of road [] within feet of river/lake [X] Other: Between Newberry Poor [] on entire site outside limits	all that apply): On clearing e/etc ond and the Area c					
	oited iameter dbh prohib ner woody-stemme	ited ed vegetation without prior written Agency				
If Y, restrictions required (choose [] within feet of limits of c [] within feet of road [X] within 100 feet of the wetland [] Other: OR [] on entire site outside limits	l boundary	Lots 4 and 5				
Extent of cutting restriction necess [X] Cutting of all vegetation prohit [] Cutting of trees of different cutting cutting cutting cutting restriction necessions.	ibited iameter dbh prohib					

If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): O	n Lot 6
[] within feet of limits of clearing	
[] within feet of road	
[] within feet of river/lake/etc	
[X] Other: Between the northwestern property box	undary and the Area of Disturbance/Limit of
Clearing.	
OR [] on entire site outside limits of clearing	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the are	ea noted above:
[] Cutting of all vegetation prohibited	
[] Cutting of trees of diameter dbh prohi	
[X] Other: No trees, shrubs or other woody-stemm authorization.	
Justification: Minimize impacts to adjoining land us	se.
If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply): O	n Lot 7
[] within feet of limits of clearing	
[] within feet of road	
X within 150 feet of Newberry Pond	
Other:	
OR [] on entire site outside limits of clearing	
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the are	ea noted above:
Utting of all vegetation prohibited	
[] Cutting of trees of diameter dbh prohi	
[X] Other: No trees, shrubs or other woody-stemm	led vegetation without prior written Agency
authorization.	Bara and Bara Danisant and annals
Justification: Maintain visual protections and shore	iline quality; Prevent soil erosion.
Plantings	
Plan proposed and reviewed?	No
If N, plantings required?	No
→ If Y, species, number, location, and time of year:	: NA
Justification: NA	

Wetlands

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: Lots 4, 5, and 7 contain wetlands. Restricting additional clearing within 100 feet of wetland boundaries and restricting the construction of accessory structures to locations greater than 100 feet from wetland boundaries will minimize impacts to these resources and preserve the wildlife habitat they provide for the entire project site.

Density (may be different for each subdivision lot)

Located in Town with ALLUP? No (If Y, stop. Town oversees density.)
Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? No
If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: 2 principal buildings exist on Lot 7: Single Family Dwelling (2000) and an attached garage apartment (2000)

Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): 49

Extinguishing PBs? No If Y, number: NA

Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review)

Municipal system connection approved?

Community system connection approved by RASS?

Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS?

If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system?

NA

If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system?

NA

Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system?

Yes

Consult with RASS for additional conditions.

Stormwater Management (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: A SWPPP with erosion and sediment controls is required by the Agency to protect wetlands and other resources.

Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development)

Consult with RASS for conditions. Justification: NA

Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development)

Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Yes - access road and utility line

Justification: NA

For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings

Explain why no condition is needed: Existing vegetation to remain between Newberry Pond, adjoining land uses and the existing and proposed development.