

## **DRAFT PERMIT WRITING FORM – P**2025-0078

Assigned EPS: A Ziemann Reviewed by: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap to enter a date.

APPLICANT
Project Sponsor(s): Chateaugay Lake Foundation
_andowner(s): Click or tap here to enter text.
Authorized Representative: Glenn Sullivan, Ready Scout, LLC
PROJECT SITE
Town/Village: Dannemora, Ellenburg County: Clinton
Road and/or Water Body: Upper Chateaugay Lake
Tax Map #(s): Click or tap here to enter text.
Deed Ref: Click or tap here to enter text.
_and Use Area(s): UNDERWATER LANDS□H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU
Project Site Size: 168± acres
□Same as Tax Map #(s) identified above
□Only the □H □MIU □LIU □RU □RM □IU portion of the Tax Map #(s) identified above
⊠Other (describe):Treatment Plan Map
_awfully Created? ☐Y ☐N NA ☐ Pre-existing subdivision: Click or tap here to enter text.
River Area: □Y 図N If Yes: □Wild - □Scenic - □Recreational Name of River: Click or tap here to enter
ext.
CEAs (include all):     ⊠Wetland - □Fed Hwy - □State Hwy - □State Land - □Elevation - □Study River
PROJECT DESCRIPTION
Application of the aquatic herbicide ProcellaCOR EC, involving wetlands, within four treatment areas
n Upper Chateaugay Lake, to control Eurasian watermilfoil.
JURISDICTION (including legal citation)
9 NYCRR Part 578.3(2)(i); Regulated wetland activity
DDIOD DEDMITO / OFTTI EMENT A ODEEMENTO DEINO OUDEDOEDED
PRIOR PERMITS / SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS BEING SUPERSEDED None
Notice
FINDINGS OF FACT – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING
Lakes, Ponds, Navigable Rivers and Streams Check if none □
Water Body Name: Upper Chateaugay Lake
5 7
Minimum Lot Width: NA Meets standard: □Y □N
NA Meets standard: □Y □N
NA Meets standard: □Y □N
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Cutting proposed within 6 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% vegetation? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N
$\Box$ Y $\boxtimes$ N Cutting proposed within 35 ft of MHWM? If Yes, < 30% trees 6" dbh? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N
☐ 1

□Y	$\boxtimes N$	Cutting proposed within 100 ft of river area? (If Yes, include under jurisdiction)
□Per	manen	ble Streams in proximity to development  t Stream □ Intermittent Stream Classified? □Y □N  mental Resource Mapper stream classification: Click or tap here to enter text.
	□N □N → If Y → If Y Y □N Area Y □N Fill/str Y □N Cleard Y □N Y □N Polluti Y □N Polluti Y □N	Jurisdictional wetland on property, or  Wetlands are a basis of development jurisdiction   If Yes, RASS biologist consulted  C, covertype: Deep Water Marsh  V, value rating: 1  Draining, dredging, excavation of wetland of wetland loss: Click or tap here to enter text. Permanent?   Fill/structure in wetlands ucture area: Click or tap here to enter text.  Shading of wetland of shading: Click or tap here to enter text.  Clearcutting >3 acres of wetland *RASS forester consulted cut area: Click or tap here to enter text.  Untreated stormwater discharge into wetland Pollution discharge into wetland on type: Click or tap here to enter text.  Pesticide/Herbicide application in wetland on type: Aquatic Herbicide ProcellaCor EC  OSWTS within 100 feet of a wetland ince to Wetland: Click or tap here to enter text.
⊠Y □Y □Y Spec	□N ⊠N ⊠N	
Existi		Agricultural District  \$\sum RASS\$ engineer consulted if structure proposed on >15%, driveway on >12%, or wwts on >8/15% e range: Click or tap here to enter text. Building area(s) if authorizing development: Click or text.
	□N ∕es, soi	Deep-hole test pit completed? (Necessary for every building lot)  Check if N/A □  I data information determined or approved by RASS soil analyst?  ed Soil Series or Other Comments: Click or tan here to enter text

Stormwater NA

□Y □Y		•	
Nearl Adjoi Is nea	oy (inc ning L arby d	er of Area nclude all): ⊠Residential □Commercial □Industrial □Agricultural Land Uses / State Land: Year-Round and Seasonal Residential Lake development visible from road? NA □Y □N ame road and describe visible development: Click or tap here to enter the	Community
Addi	tional	al Existing Development (ex: dam on site, etc.): Click or tap here to e	enter text.
***	Attac	ach Individual Lot Development Worksheet (if a subdivision, attac	h one for each lot)
FIND	INGS	S OF FACT – COORDINATED REVIEW	
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	N Archeologically Sensitive Area, according to OPRHP	Yes, APA APO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	N Structures > 50 years old on or visible from site $\Box$ If Y	es, APA AHPO consulted
$\Box Y$	$\boxtimes N$	, i i	es, APA AHPO consulted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$		ted / application submitted
□Y	⊠N		OOH application submitted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	. 01	DEC application submitted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$		
□Y	⊠N		DEC application submitted
□Y	⊠N		DEC application submitted
□Y	⊠N		OOH application submitted
□Y	⊠N		☐ If Yes, ACOE consulted
□Y	$\boxtimes N$	N Agency-approved Local Land Use Program □ If Ye	es, Town/Village consulted
letermir	e if an	Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) thresholds for the <u>Buffalo District</u> and the <u>New Yan</u> application (PCN) needs to be submitted to the Corps. Additionally, review the <u>Sec</u> a Section 10 Navigable Waters permit might be required from the Corps.	
PERI	MIT C	CONDITIONS AND IMPACT ANALYSIS	
_	er NA ication	NA on if merger required: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Non-l	ouildin and l	venant NA ling lot being created? □ Y □ □ N d lot is not being merged by condition, no PBs? Or no structures at all? to enter text.	<sup>9</sup> Justification: Click or
Ease	ment	nt NA	
Ease	ment i	t proposed or required? □Y □N	
		ult with Legal for conditions. Justification: Click or tap here to enter te	xt.

Is new development (other than oswts) being authorized without further Agency review? □Y □N  → If Y: Structure height limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Structure footprint limit and justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
<ul> <li>→ If N:</li> <li>→ Acceptable development sites identified for all subdivision lots with PB allocation? □Y □N</li> <li>→ Review of future development required? □Y □N</li> <li>→ If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.</li> </ul>
Guest Cottages (if authorizing a dwelling) NA  Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, guest cottages potentially allowed? □Y □N  → Justification for any conditions: Click or tap here to enter text.
Boathouses (if project site contains shoreline) NA  Proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, boathouses potentially allowed? □Y □N  → If N, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.  → If Y, review required (beyond definition limits)? □Y □N  → If Y, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Docks (if project site contains shoreline) NA  Proposed and reviewed?
Outdoor Lighting (if authorizing development) NA Plan proposed and reviewed?   N
Building Color (if authorizing development) NA If color condition required, justification: Click or tap here to enter text.
Tree Cutting / Vegetation Removal NA  Town with Northern Long-Eared Bat occurrences? □Y □N  Indiana Bat habitat indicated on Lookup? □Y □N
Vegetative cutting restrictions required?  \( \text{Y} \) \( \text{IN} \)  If Y, restrictions required (choose all that apply):  \( \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of limits of clearing} \)  \( \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of road} \)  \( \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of river/lake/etc} \)  \( \text{within Click or tap here to enter text. feet of wetlands} \)  \( \text{Other: Click or tap here to enter text.} \)

OR □on entire site outside limits of clearing				
Extent of cutting restriction necessary within the area noted above:  Cutting of all vegetation prohibited  Cutting of trees of Click or tap here to enter text. diameter dbh prohibited  Other: Click or tap here to enter text.  Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Plantings NA  Plan proposed and reviewed? □Y □N  If N, plantings required? □Y □N  → If Y, species, number, location, and time of year: Click or tap here to enter text.  Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Density (may be different for each subdivision lot) NA  Located in Town with ALLUP? □Y □N (If Y, STOP, Town oversees density.  Authorizing PB on substandard-sized lot created pre-2000 with no permit? □Y □N  If N and N, list existing PBs, including whether they are pre-existing/year built: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Mathematically available # of new PBs (in addition to existing or replacement): Extinguishing PBs? $\Box$ Y $\Box$ N If Y, number: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Wastewater (if authorizing construction of a new PB without further review) NA Municipal system connection approved? Community system connection approved by RASS? Proposed on-site system designed by engineer and approved by RASS? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional standard trench system? If N, has RASS field-verified location for conventional shallow trench system? Suitable 100% replacement area confirmed for existing / proposed system? Consult with RASS for additional conditions.	□Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N □Y□N			
Stormwater Management (if authorizing development) NA Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circum Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
<b>Erosion and Sediment Control (if authorizing development) NA</b> Consult with RASS for conditions. Condition required if authorizing development within wetlands or greater than 1 acre disturbance; condition possibly required in other circum Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Infrastructure Construction (if authorizing development) NA Construction necessary before lot conveyance: Click or tap here to enter text. Justification: Click or tap here to enter text.				

## For permits that will not include conditions related to Building Color, Vegetation Removal, or Plantings NA

Explain why no condition is needed: Click or tap here to enter text.

## Additional Site / Project-Specific Concerns / Conditions Needed

- A: Condition restricting timing of treatment to mid May/June of treatment year
- B: Application must be within the treatment locations proposed, and in accordance with DEC Pesticides Permit
- C: Compliance with "Clean Drain Dry" standards for all equipment to be used in the application
- D: Conduct post treatment concentration monitoring as described in the Sampling Plan.
- E: Conduct post treatment aquatic plant survey between August 1 and September 15 of the treatment year, repeating the survey methodology of the pre-treatment survey.
- F: Post treatment status report to be provided to the Agency by December 1 of the treatment year, to include post treatment residue monitoring report and post treatment aquatic plant survey results
- G: Post treatment data to be uploaded to iMap Invasives database by December 1 of Treatment Year.
- Justification: A: Target plants will be controlled early in the growing season will be smaller than they are later in the season. This will result resulting in reduced decaying biomass and associated water quality impacts
- B: Dosage control is pursuant to DEC permit; Application in areas other than those depicted on the map may have associated impacts that haven't been reviewed, or may involve underwater lands under different ownerships
- C: Clean Drain Dry standards will limit potential for spread of invasive plant material from other waterbodies
- D: Post treatment residue monitoring will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized
- E: Post Treatment aquatic plant survey will allow confirmation that the project was undertaken as authorized, will provide data to assess efficacy of the treatment, and will provide assessment of non-target impacts
- F: Status report summarizing outcomes and providing relevant data will help confirm the project was undertaken in compliance with the permit, and will provide assistance in strategizing future management efforts
- G: Submission of the treatment to the iMap Invasives database will support regional and statewide long term monitoring of invasive species management efforts.

□Y□NPublic comments received□Y□NApplicant submitted response (notes, if any) Click or tap here to enter text.